Decision Support System for Determination of Employees Using Fuzzy Decision Tree

Sinawaty^{#1}, Yusni Amaliah^{#2}

#1.2 Program StudiSistemInformasi, STMIK PPKIA TarakanitaRahmawati Jl. YosSudarso 8 Tarakan, Indonesia ¹sinawatijf@yahoo.com

²yusniamaliah@gmail.com

Abstract— Employees as a source of performance measures of a company, become an important component for well-managed existence. Employees with good title, it should get an award from the company. Companies also need to properly oversee the performance of employees, to be known and chosen, a good employee for later promoted to a higher level and supervisory employees who need to get the job to be improved or even employees who can no longer to be retained to work in a company.

Decision Treemethodinthis studyare integrated with the fuzzy algorithm to be able to choose the employee at a time. Many companies are implementing the determination of employee at a time in every monthoreven in every week, to be able to lift the performance of the employee to continue the better work or at least to be able to continue to defend his performance.

Fuzzyalgorithmwilllaterperform a discrete calculations on the
appearvague on that assessment appears on
the employeeside.the employeeside.Decision Treemethod will provide a
solution that decisions about
employees can be
expressed as employee at a time based on data obtained at one
time.

The nextdecisionis still heldby the companytobe able todetermine thedecisionsofsome of the solutionsproducedby themethod oftheDecisionTree

Keywords— decision support systems, determination of employees, decision tree, fuzzy algorithm

I. INTRODUCTION

Today the development of information technology has grown so rapidly. The rapid development of technology not only hardware and software, but also developing computational methods. One of the computational methods developed enough at this time is the method of Decision Systems (Decision Support System). In information technology, decision support system is an interactive computer information system that can be used by decision makers to get the best of several alternative decision-making.

Decision support system is an interactive computer information system that can be used by decision makers to get the best of several alternative decision-making and this system gives the end result is precise and accurate because it is based on qualitative data that have been processed using quantitative methods. Currently the use of decision support systems is very important is the STMIK PPKIA TarakanitaRahmawatiTarakan, which is to determine the Employee example where employees have a very large role in supporting the success and improvement of the quality of Higher Education in STMIK PPKIA TarakanRahmawatiTarakan.

The reason triggering this study in STMIK PPKIA TarakanitaRahmawati, as an educational institution, sometimes complain about the work ethic of employees after seeing the extent to which they do not have the basic skills and behaviors necessary for success for their work. Employees absent from work without explanation, the clock was always in the presence of neglect, unwilling or lazy to do the tasks assigned to them, and do not take the initiative to look around and see what needs to be done without waiting for instructions from the leadership.

Scott Morton (Turban, 1998) in 1971 defines a Decision Support System (DSS) as an interactive computer-based system that helps, decision makers utilize data and models to solve unstructured problems. DSS sense proposed by Gory and Scott Morton, who is supported by Little in 1970 (Turban 1998) defines the DSS is a collection of models and procedures based on the procedures for processing data and judgments to assist a manager in decision making.

With this background the authors are keen to build a decision support system of determining employee of the month at STMIK PPKIA Tarakanita Rahmawati which later can lead and manage education in schools in an effort to improve the quality of education.

Other conditions that trigger the authors decided to build in this Decision Support System which will assist the leadership in terms of consideration of the objectivity of the selection of employees by an example, so that decision-making process could be done better, to be considered leaders to provide better confidence to the employees who have sufficient good performance and if there is a new promotion that the employee will likely be promoted because of the performance and competence held by the employee with respect to the sub criteria to be met by an employee so as to obtain quality human resources, and appropriate.

Decision tree is a classification and prediction methods are very powerful and famous. Decision tree method to change the fact that a very large decision tree is presented to the rule. Because the decision tree is also useful to explore data, find hidden relationships between a number of candidate criteria input by the target criteria, objective criteria are usually grouped with definite targets and decision tree models aim at

1st International Conference on Engineering and Technology Development (ICETD 2012)

Faculty od Engineering and Faculty of Computer Science

calculating the probability of each record of these categories or to classify record with breaks in the one class.

Decision tree can also be used for various shooting needs keptusan including in this case is the determination of employee of the month at the College of Informatics and Computer Management (STMIK) PPKIA Tarakanita Rahmawati by looking at the behavior and performance are shared by all employees.

Data are expressed in the form of decision tree with the attribute tables and records, the attributes expressed in a parameter that is created as a criterion in the establishment of a tree in this example for the determination of employee or not examples of criteria to consider is the absence of attendance, cooperation with fellow employees, absent from work without a statement, have the initiative in the work without waiting for instructions from the head first, always keep track of work space, following the policy of the office, courtesy of all civitas School of Information Management and Computers (STMIK) PPKIA TarakanitaRahmawati, hardworking and always has an interest in diridan develop each of the attributes (criteria) has a value called the instance instanceinstance less absenteeism have good attendance, good and very good.

II. THE THEORY

2.1 Decision Tree

Known as decision tree or decision tree classification method is one that uses a representation of a tree structure that contains alternatives for solving a problem. The trees also show the criteria that affect the outcome of alternative decisions with estimates of the final results when making decisions tersebu. the role of decision tree is a decision support tool to assist in decision-making decion maker, as for the benefit of decion tree is to break down a complex decision-making process becomes more simple so that a decision maker decion would be to interpret the solution of the problem. concept of the decision tree is to change the data into a decision and the rules.

The concept of decision tree concept is to transform the data into a decision tree (decision tree) and decision rules.



Fig 1. Decision Tree Structure

Decision tree is a tree-shaped structure flowchart (tree), where each internal node denotes a test attribute, each branch represents the test results, and leaf nodes represent classes or class distributions. line traced from the decision tree to the root node to a leaf node that holds the class prediction for example. decision tree classification rules (classification rule).

Decision tree using hierki structure for supervised learning. process of decion tree starting from root node to leaf node is done rekusif. where each branch represents a hastus conditions are met and at each end of the state tree of a class of data.

To obtain a rule (rules) on the decision tree can be created on each path from the root down to a leaf node. for each criterion separately on a path, then in logic and give the formula or rule conjungtion antecedet or in part if leaf node has a class prediction rule formulated for consequent on the then.

Iterative Dichotomicer Tree (ID3) is a decision tree learning algorithm (decision tree learning algorithm) is the most basic. algorithm performs a greedy search / comprehensive (greedy) at all possible decision trees.

One of the decision tree induction algorithm ID3 (Iterative Dichotomiser 3) which was developed by J. Ross Quinlan. Decision Tree consists of three parts, namely:

- Root Node, which is the topmost node of a tree
- **Internal Node**, is a form of bifurcation, there is only one input and at least two output
- Leaf Node, an end node, it only has one input and no output

Gain calculation process by using the following formula.

$$Gain (K, SB) = Entropy(K)$$
$$-\sum_{|K| = I = I} \frac{|Sy|}{|S|} Entropy (Sy)$$

Description:

gain (K, SB) : atribut

| 0 | |
|-----------|---|
| S | : Chamber or sample data for training |
| у | : The possible values for attribute k |
| Value (k) | : The set is likely to attribute k |
| Sy | : The number of samples to the value of y |
| S | : The whole number of data samples |

Meanwhile, the calculation of entropy values can be seen there are similarities:

Entropi (S) =
$$\sum \frac{n}{i=j} pi * Log2 pi$$

Description:

Entropy (S) : Space of datafor the training sample Pi : The number of sample data for criteria

III. DESIGN APPROACH

The system development process begins by determining the domain for each attribute, the group data value of the new employee based on the average value of employee.

TABLE I Assessmentof Hard WorktoDevelopandSelf-Interest (PKK)

| Parameter Ukuran | Bobot Nilai |
|------------------|-------------|
| Sangat Baik | 70-100 |
| Baik | 41-69 |
| Kurang | 0-40 |

1st International Conference on Engineering and Technology Development (**ICETD 2012**) Universitas Bandar Lampung

Faculty od Engineering and Faculty of Computer Science

TABLE II AssessmentForFollowingOffice Policy (PK)

| Parameter Ukuran | Bobot Nilai |
|------------------|-------------|
| Sangat Baik | 70-100 |
| Baik | 41-69 |
| Kurang | 0-40 |

TABLE III Assessment of Attendance (PA)

| Parameter Ukuran | Bobot Nilai |
|------------------|-------------|
| Sangat Baik | 70-100 |
| Baik | 41-69 |
| Kurang | 0-40 |

TABLE IV Assessment for operate in the Team Capability (PKS)

| Parameter Ukuran | Bobot Nilai |
|------------------|-------------|
| Sangat Baik | 70-100 |
| Baik | 41-69 |
| Kurang | 0-40 |

| TABLE V |
|--|
| ASSESSMENT OF THE INITIATIVE AND PARTICIPATION TO WORK |
| (PI) |

| Parameter Ukuran | Bobot Nilai |
|------------------|-------------|
| Sangat Baik | 70-100 |
| Baik | 41-69 |
| Kurang | 0-40 |

TABLE VI Assessment of Attitude (PSS)

| Parameter Ukuran | Bobot Nilai |
|------------------|-------------|
| Sangat Baik | 70-100 |
| Baik | 41-69 |
| Kurang | 0-40 |

| TABLEVIV |
|-----------------------------|
| ASSESSMENTOFROOMTOKEEPCLEAN |
| (PM) |

| Parameter Ukuran | Bobot Nilai |
|------------------|-------------|
| Sangat Baik | 70-100 |
| Baik | 41-69 |
| Kurang | 0-40 |

In Table 8 below contains data that is used as a sample in the study.

TABLE VII Pengelompokan Nilai Histori/Training Karyawan

| N o | Kerja Kera s | Ab sens i | Kebi jaka n | Sopa n | Kerj a sam a | lni siati f | Me n jaga | Jawaban |
|--------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
| 1 | В | SB | SB | В | В | К | SB | Layak |
| | | | | | | | | Tidak |
| 2 | К | К | К | SB | В | К | К | Layak |
| 3 | SB | В | В | К | SB | SB | К | Layak |
| 4 | В | В | В | SB | SB | К | В | Layak |
| 5 | К | В | SB | SB | SB | В | SB | Layak |
| | | | | | | | | Tidak |
| 6 | K | K | К | SB | K | K | В | Layak |
| | | | | | | | | Tidak |
| 7 | В | K | K | K | SB | K | К | Layak |
| 8 | В | В | SB | В | В | K | К | Layak |
| 9 | В | SB | К | В | В | В | К | Layak |
| | | | | | | | | Tidak |
| 10 | К | SB | В | К | К | К | SB | Layak |
| 11 | SB | К | SB | SB | К | В | SB | Layak |
| 12 | SB | К | В | SB | В | В | К | Layak |
| | | | | | | | | Tidak |
| 13 | K | K | К | В | K | SB | К | Layak |
| 14 | В | SB | К | В | SB | SB | SB | Layak |
| 15 | В | SB | В | К | В | SB | К | Layak |
| | | | | | | | | Tidak |
| 16 | К | К | К | К | SB | В | К | Layak |
| 17 | SB | SB | SB | К | В | В | В | Layak |
| 18 | В | SB | SB | В | К | SB | В | Layak |
| 19 | SB | В | SB | В | К | В | К | Layak |
| | | | | | | | | Tidak |
| 20 | K | В | SB | К | К | В | SB | Layak |
| | | | | | | | | Tidak |
| 21 | SB | В | К | В | К | К | К | Layak |

Entropy calculations that occur are as follows

| | Layak Tidak Layak | 13 8 | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------|---------|
| | Total Entropi | 21 0,959 | | | |
| | Kerja Keras | Layak | Tidak Layak | Total | Entropi |
| | Sangat Baik | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0,650 |
| | Baik | 7 | 1 | 8 | 0,544 |
| | Kurang | 1 | 6 | 7 | 0,592 |
| | Gain | 0,37 | | | |
| n | Kebijakan | Layak | Tidak Layak | Total | Entropi |
| | Sangat Baik | 7 | 1 | 8 | 0,544 |
| | Baik | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0,722 |
| | Kurano | 2 | 6 | 8 | 0.811 |

Gain

0,27

1st International Conference on Engineering and Technology Development (**ICETD 2012**) Universitas Bandar Lampung

Faculty od Engineering and Faculty of Computer Science

| Attendance | Feasible | Unfeasible | Total | Entropi |
|--------------|----------|------------|-------|---------|
| Very Good | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0,592 |
| Good | 5 | 2 | 7 | 0,863 |
| Less | 2 | 5 | 7 | 0,863 |
| Gain | 0,19 | | | |
| Relationship | Feasible | Unfeasible | Total | Entropi |
| Very Good | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0,918 |
| Good | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0,592 |
| Less | 3 | 5 | 8 | 0,954 |
| Gain | 0,14 | | | |
| Initiative | Feasible | Unfeasible | Total | Entropi |
| Very Good | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0,722 |
| Good | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0,811 |
| Less | 3 | 5 | 8 | 0,954 |
| Gain | 0,11 | | | |
| courteous | Feasible | Unfeasible | Total | Entropi |
| Very Good | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0,918 |
| Good | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0,811 |
| Less | 3 | 4 | 7 | 0,958 |
| Gain | 0,06 | | | |
| Guard | Feasible | Unfeasible | Total | Entropi |
| Very Good | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0,918 |
| Good | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0,811 |
| Less | 6 | 5 | 11 | 0,994 |
| Gain | 0.27 | | | |

Employee of the selection procedure with a decision tree method is an employee of the selection process using a decision tree method, a system flowchart as shown in Fig. 2.



Fig 2. Flowchart of Application Design

Prospective employee of the table design is used to enter and store candidate data model, to more clearly the structure of this table is shown in Table IX.

TABLE IX Employee Data

| NIK | Name | Address | Date of birth | Religio n |
|-------|------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 08000 | Nedya | Jl. Yos Sudarso | 20/05/197 | |
| 1 | Lestari | No. 8 | 5 | Khatolik |
| 08000 | Ulva | Kampung Bugis | 11/03/198 | |
| 2 | Yaomil | No. 5 | 0 | Islam |
| 08000 | Hondra M | Selumit Pantai | 02/12/198 | |
| 3 | Henura IVI | No. 9 | 5 | Islam |
| 08000 | Ekowati | | 30/07/198 | |
| 4 | EKOWOLI | Mess PT. Intraca | 0 | Budha |

The design criteria table serves to enter and store data assessment criteria against prospective employee of the month, to more clearly competency table structure is shown in Table X.

TABLE X Data Criteria

| Period | Hard Worker | Policy | Attendanc e | Relationshi p |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| 10/05/201 2 | Good | Very Good | Very Good | Good |
| Initiative | Courteous | Guard | Re | sult |
| Less | Good | Very Good | Fea | sible |

Design of the prospective gain value table is used to enter and store data gain value of each prospective employee of the month which can be shown in Table XI.

TABLE XI GAIN VALUE

| Periode | NIK | Total Entropi | Kerja Keras | Kebijakan |
|------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| 10/05/2012 | 080001 | 0,9587 | 0,375 | 0,375 |
| Absensi | Kerjasama | Inisiatif | Sopan Santun | Menjaga |
| 0,401 | 0,424 | 0,424 | 0,50 | 0,918 |

Input page (input) data is used to insert candidate personal data of each prospective employee of the month. At this potential there is a blank form field that serves to fill the data prospective employee of the month.

Prospective employee of the input data is contained in the master data input, the input data of candidates. After the successful candidates in the data store to the database by pressing the save button the user can edit the data then the necessary data. After the change of data can be stored again by pressing the save data. As for the delete, the procedure is not much different to change the data as shown in Fig. 3.

1st International Conference on Engineering and Technology Development (ICETD 2012)

Universitas Bandar Lampung

Faculty od Engineering and Faculty of Computer Science



Fig 3. Form Input Data

Input page (input) value of the criteria for each employee used to perform data input into the terms of the assessment criteria in employee selection model must be owned by every employee who has been assigned by decision makers. Employee assessment criteria on the form blank, there are decision tree computation process of each prospective employee of the month.

| - | | ht | Kein terre | [A1 | le i si i | [C | LK - 1 | Restate 17 | . | | |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|-----------|-------|--------------|------------|---------------|-------------|------|
| | VIK 2000001 | Nama Nadus Lautari | Netja_Keras | Absensi | CD | sopan | n. Nerjasama | Inisiao | Menjaga CD | Jawaban | - Â |
| Щ | 000001 | Nedya Lestari | B | 58 | 58 | B | 8 | N. | SB | Layak | - 11 |
| H. | 180002 | Hendra Maulana | 58 | B | B | K. | 58 | 58 | K | Layak | - 11 |
| H | 180003 | EKawat | B | 8 | 6 | 58 | 58 | N. | 6 | Layak | - |
| H | J80004 | Nurachinyani | ĸ | В | SB | SB | SB | В | SB | Layak | - 1 |
| H | 080005 | Panggar Sutejo | В | В | SB | В | В | ĸ | ĸ | Layak | |
| Ц | 080006 | Megawati | В | SB | ĸ | В | В | В | ĸ | Layak | - 11 |
| H | 080007 | Hasrah Vadriani | SB | ĸ | SB | SB | ĸ | В | SB | Layak | _ |
| Ц | 80008 | Yustriadi | SB | ĸ | В | SB | В | В | ĸ | Layak | |
| Ц | 080009 | lfransyah | В | SB | K | В | SB | SB | SB | Layak | |
| Ц | 080010 | Yunita | В | SB | В | ĸ | В | SB | K | Layak | |
| | 080011 | Hermawan | SB | SB | SB | K | В | В | В | Layak | |
| | 080012 | Rini | в | SB | SB | В | ĸ | SB | В | Layak | |
| | 080013 | Nur Fitriani Arsyad | SB | В | SB | В | ĸ | В | ĸ | Layak | |
| | 080014 | Julaiha | к | K | K | SB | ĸ | ĸ | в | Tidak Layak | |
| | 080015 | Yustina Boleng H | В | K | K | ĸ | SB | ĸ | K | Tidak Layak | |
| \square | 080016 | Riska Mayasari | ĸ | В | SB | ĸ | ĸ | В | SB | Tidak Layak | |

Fig 4. Form process entropy value

After each of the overall entropy value is unknown, it is the last step is to calculate the final value of employee selection selection model, where the final value is obtained from the total value of the overall entropy gain calculation is then performed for each employee to determine the value of the final determination or decision of employees in said to be feasible or employee of the bleak in Fig. 5.

| ř. | Jl. Yos Sudarso No. 8 Penerapan Metode Decision Tr | 8 Tarakan, Kalimantan Timur. 77121 ree Sebagai Penentuan Karyawan Teladan |
|--|---|---|
| NIK : C Nama Karyawan : N | 80001 <mark> </mark> | isi : Akademik Periode : 10/05/2012 - an : Staff |
| KRIT | ERIA PENILAIAN | Nilai Entropi Keseluruhan : 0.9587 |
| Pekerja Keras : Mengikuti Kebijakan : Absensi Kehadiran : Kerja Sama Team : Memiliki inisiatif : Sopan Santun : | C Sangat Bak | Niai Gain Kerja Keras : 0 375 Nilai Gain Kebijakan : 0 375 Nilai Gain Absensi : 0 401 Nilai Gain Asejasama : 0 401 Nilai Gain Inisiaff : 0 424 Nilai Gain Sopan : 0 50 Nilai Gain Menjaga : 0 918 |
| Menjaga Ruangan : | | Hasil Penilaian Karyawan : Layak 💽 |
| Hitung | 🔒 Simpan 🛛 📇 Cetak 🛛 🛷 Keluar | 1 |

Fig. 5. Form decision tree

Decision tree is one way that can be used to trace the main factors that most menonol is one way that can be used to trace the main factor of the most supportive of an activity. from the literature search and a simple test that we make to the algorithm are made using the election as an employee of the sample can be seen that this method of decision tree shows the most decisive factor.

IV. CONCLUSION

The benefits of tree decion method is effective in making decisions in determining the action to be taken by the decision maker. decision tree method can be used as a tool in the development of algorithms to solve the problem for decision tree model in determining the selection of employees, especially for input decisions can be relatively static.

REFERENCES

- McLeod., R., Schell G, "Management Information System" 10thEd., New Jersey : Prentice Hall International Inc. 2007
- [2] Pressman, R. S., software engineering (A practitioner's Approach), 5th Ed., prentice-hall International, Inc. 2001
- [3] Silberschartz., A., Korth, H.F.,Sudharshan,S., Database System Concepts, 4th e.d., Mc Graw Hill Companies,Inc. 2002
- [4] Suryadi., Kadarsah; Ramdhani, Ali, Sistem Pendukung Keputusan, Remaja Rosda Karya, Bandung.1998.
- [5] Supriono, Wardhana W., dan Sudaryo, "Sistem pemilihan pejabat struktural dengan metode AHP" Yogyakarta, 21-23 November
- [6] Sprague., R.H., dan Carlson 1982, Building Effective Decision Support Systems, Grolier, New Jersey. 2007
- [7] Turban, E., Aronson J.E. dan Liang, T.P., "Decission Support System and inteligent System (diterjemahkan oleh Prabantini, D., edisi 7, ANDI, Yogyakarta). 2005.