Abstract—Weather and climate are influencing factors for tourism. Tourism destinations often require information about the weather and climate to assess their climate potential. In this regard, study on thermal bioclimate, i.e. human thermal comfort is essential. This study has assessed tourist thermal comfort perceptions and adaptation in Kuta, Bali Province of Indonesia, by applying physiologically equivalent temperature (PET) and thermal perception classifications (TPCs) for temperate and (sub)tropical regions. Analytical calculation of PET utilized RayMan model and synoptic meteorological data covering the period 2002-2012. The results show that frequencies of thermal comfort during whole day (02:00-23:00) for tourists from temperate region are higher than those for tourists from (sub)tropical region, indicating that tourism potential based on thermal comfort is high for those from the temperate region. However, around noon (11:00-17:00), thermal comfort of the tourists from (sub)tropical region is better (in which indicating more adaptable to the daytime climate conditions) than that of the tourists from the temperate region. In addition, it is shown that the months from June to September, particularly in July and August are the most suitable time to travel for the tourists from temperate region, whereas all year round is appropriate for those tourists from (sub)tropical region.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Tourism is strongly influenced by many factors; weather and climate are two of them [1]-[3]. At some travel destinations, climate represents a natural resource on which the tourism industry is predicated [4]. Climate may act as a support, resource, locational factor and attraction for tourism [5]. Tourists consider that climate is a key factor, consciously or implicitly during travel planning, and represents both a push and pull factor for them. Weather and climate are an intrinsic component of the vacation experience and have been found to be a central motivator for travel [6]. The climate influences the way the image of a destination is formed in the mind of a tourist, particularly when seeking outdoor leisure activities [7].

Climate is a key resource for many types of tourism and as such can be measured and evaluated. An index approach is required for this task because of the multifaceted nature of weather and the complex ways that weather variables come together to give meaning to climate for tourism [8]. The most used index in the past, among others is the Tourism Climate Index (TCI) proposed by [9]. TCI uses a combination of seven parameters, three of which are independent and two in a bioclimatic combination. It includes daytime comfort index, consisting of the mean maximum air temperature and the mean minimum relative humidity, a daily comfort index, consisting of the mean air temperature and the mean relative humidity, amount of precipitation, daily sunshine duration and mean wind speed. However, state of the art is the calculation of thermal comfort based on the human energy balance [10], e.g. PMV (predicted mean vote) [11], ET (effective temperature) and SET (standard effective temperature) [12], PET (physiologically equivalent temperature) [13],[14] and UTCI (universal thermal climate index) [15].

Tourism climate can be represented by thermal, physical (rain or snow), and aesthetic conditions (visibility, sunshine and cloud cover) [16]. Since most physical and aesthetic factors are subjective, the thermal factor of climate is frequently analysed as it has an important role in tourism. When tourists experience thermal conditions that are close to their thermal comfort zones, the number of tourists visiting resorts and scenic destinations can increase. Different tourists have different recognitions of the climate parameters priority. Even the same person will have different climate preference depending on location. Tourists from different regions with different thermal experiences may have different thermal adaptation when exposed to the same thermal condition [17]-[19].

To date, little research has been done to establish climate information to support Bali tourism potential, particularly related to human thermal comfort. The main objective of this study is to assess human thermal bioclimatic conditions by applying physiologically equivalent temperature (PET) thermal index for study area of Kuta and the surrounding areas located in Bali Province of Indonesia. The specific objectives include (1) to compare thermal perception and adaptation of the tourists reside in different regions, i.e. temperate and (sub)tropical regions using PET ranges and (2) to determine the most suitable time to travel with regards to the thermal comfort perception.

II. STUDY AREA
Tourism constitutes one of the main economic sectors in Indonesia. The contribution of tourism to Indonesian foreign exchange income rose from US$4.3 billion in 2002 to US$9.1 billion in 2012. Accordingly, Bali tourism revenue shared approximately 45% to the Indonesia tourism. Number of international tourist arrivals to Bali increased from 1.29 million in 2002 to a record of 2.89 million in 2012. Market contribution by nationality region during the course of period comprised Asia Pacific, Europe, South East Asia, America, Africa and Middle East, which accounted for 58.5%, 25.2%, 10.5%, 5.1%, 0.5%, 0.2%, respectively [20]. Fig. 1 shows monthly distribution of the number of international and domestic tourists to Bali island during the period 2002-2012. The total number of tourists was 50.3 million. The contribution of international tourists is approximately 36% to the total number of tourists visiting Bali throughout the years.

Kuta is now the centre of an extensive tourist-oriented urban area that merges into the neighboring beach-front towns, i.e. Legian, Seminyak and Petitenget. Legian, to the north is the commercial hub of Kuta and the site of many restaurants and entertainment spots. Seminyak and Petitenget are northern extensions of Kuta. They are somewhat quieter suburbs with cottage-style accommodations, where many of the expat crowd live [22]. Ngurah Rai international airport is situated about 3.5 km to the south of Kuta, where makes close access for the tourists to travel.

### III. METHODS

This study utilises 11-year synoptic meteorological datasets during the period 2002-2012 from Ngurah Rai airport meteorological station (WMO ID: 97230) authorised by the Indonesian Agency for Meteorology, Climatology and Geophysics. The data were obtained freely from Ogimet website [24] for 3-hourly time steps based on the Universal Time Coordinate (UTC). For analysis, the data were firstly converted to the Bali Local Solar Time (LST) at 8 h ahead to the UTC time, i.e. 02:00, 05:00, 08:00, 11:00, 14:00, 17:00, 20:00 and 23:00 LST. Before use, the datasets were decoded from its synoptic code and the errors associated with wrong codes were corrected [25].
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PET is defined as the air temperature at which the human energy budget for the assumed indoor conditions is balanced by the same skin temperature and sweat rate as under the actual complex outdoor conditions to be assessed. PET enables various users to compare the integral effects of complex thermal conditions outside with their own experience indoors. In addition PET can be used for the entire year and in different climates [13],[27]. Meteorological parameters influencing the human energy balance, such as air temperature, air humidity, wind speed and short- and long-wave radiation fluxes, are represented in the PET values, as it also considers the heat transfer resistance of clothing and the internal heat production. The following assumptions and procedures are made for calculating PET [27]:

1. Mean radiant temperature equals air temperature
   \( T_{\text{mrt}} = T_{a} \)
2. Wind velocity is set to 0.1 m/s
3. Water vapour pressure is set to 12 hPa (approximately equivalent to a relative humidity of 50% at \( T_{a}=20^\circ\text{C} \))
4. Calculation of the thermal conditions of the body with MEMI for a given combination of meteorological parameters
5. Insertion of the calculated values for mean skin temperature and core temperature into the model MEMI and solving the energy balance for air temperature \( T_{a} \) with \( v=0.1 \text{ m/s}, \text{ water vapour pressure (VP)}=12 \text{ hPa and } T_{\text{mrt}}=T_{a} \)
6. The resulting air temperature is equivalent to PET.

III.3 Thermal Perception Classification

In order to account for tourists’ thermal perception under different temperatures of PET, it is necessary to define PET ranges in which tourists feel comfortable, i.e., thermal comfort range or thermal perception classification (TPC) [19]. In a study by [29], the TPC for PET for western/middle Europe was obtained by transforming the PMVs of 45,000 datasets for thermal perception and grade of physiological stress on human beings [11],[30] into PET ranges. The TPC they suggested is frequently applied as a thermal comfort criterion for temperate regions. For Taiwan, a (sub)tropical region, 1644 interviews were conducted to determine resident thermal comfort [19],[31]. Interviewees were asked to subjectively rate their thermal comfort as a thermal sensation vote (TSV) on a scale ranging from -3 for “cold” to +3 for “hot.” Additionally, thermal acceptability indicated whether respondents considered the current thermal environment “comfortable” or “uncomfortable”. Table 1 shows comparison of the thermal perception and PET ranges for people living in western/Middle European countries (temperate regions) and the TPC for Taiwan residents, in which representing sub(tropical) regions [18].

Table 1 Thermal perception and PET ranges for temperate and (sub)tropical regions [18],[29]
III.4 RayMan Model

One of the recently used radiation and bioclimate models, RayMan, is well suited to calculate radiation fluxes [29][32] and was chosen for all calculations for the mean radiant temperature and the most used and known thermal indices (here PET). The RayMan model, developed according to the Guideline 3787 of the German Engineering Society [14] calculates the radiation flux in easy and complex environments on the basis of various parameters, such as air temperature, air humidity, degree of cloud cover, time of day and year, albedo of the surrounding surfaces and their solid-angle proportions [26][33]. The parameters introduced into RayMan model for the PET calculation, i.e. thermophysiological data (heat resistance of clothing, 0.9 clo; light activity, 80 watt) and personal data (175 cm height, 75 kg weight, 35 years old and male gender) [34].

III.5 Methods of Analysis

Climate data are used for input parameters into RayMan model to calculate PET, i.e. air temperature, air relative humidity, cloud cover and wind speed. For bioclimatic analysis, the wind speeds are adjusted to the reference at 1.1 m height above ground level, instead of 10 m standard height of airport station readings, using equation provided in [35]:

\[
WS_{1.1} = WS_h \left( \frac{1.1}{h} \right)^a, \quad a = 0.12 z_o + 0.18, \quad (2)
\]

where, \(WS_h\) is the wind speed (ms\(^{-1}\)) at height of \(h\) (10 m), \(a\) is an empirical coefficient depending on the surface roughness, and \(z_o\) is the roughness length (in our case \(z_o = 0.01\), applied for airport area).

The output of PET values on 3-hourly intervals are categorized into grade of physiological stress on human beings based on PET range thresholds for the tourists reside in temperate and (sub)tropical regions as depicted in Table 1. This gives detail analysis about the thermal comfort period for the tourists reside in temperate and (sub)tropical regions as depicted in Table 1. The result shows the same pattern to the trend of number of international tourists coming to Bali (Fig. 2), where from June to September are the high season. It nearly coincides with the summer vacation period in the northern hemisphere regions. Though, during December-January also shows high season, it seems that the tourist arrivals are to celebrate New Year, but the climate conditions are thermally comfortable to them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermal Perception</th>
<th>PET range for temperate region (°C)</th>
<th>PET range for (sub)tropical region (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very hot</td>
<td>&gt;41</td>
<td>&gt;42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot</td>
<td>35 - 41</td>
<td>38 - 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>29 - 35</td>
<td>34 - 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly warm</td>
<td>23 - 29</td>
<td>30 - 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>18 - 23</td>
<td>26 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly cool</td>
<td>13 - 18</td>
<td>22 - 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>8 - 13</td>
<td>18 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very cold</td>
<td>&lt; 4</td>
<td>&lt; 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

June to September, the neutral perception achieves the highest frequency around 38-47%, whereas similar frequencies of around 10% occur during the rest of the months. The frequencies of “feeling slightly warm to very hot” (PET>23 °C) exceed 40% of the time in each month for the whole year, whereas during June-September, the frequency is less than 7%. None of the months indicates frequencies of “feeling slightly cool to cool” over the year. From the figure it can be seen that the most thermal comfort period for the tourists residing in temperate region is between June and September, particularly in July and August. This gives detail analysis about the thermal comfort periods for the tourists residing in temperate and (sub)tropical regions as depicted in Table 1.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study employs PET ranges at different climates, i.e. temperate and (sub)tropical regions (Table 1) to determine distribution of thermal comfort perception throughout the years. The thermal comfort zone (“neutral perception”) is 18-23 °C PET for those residing in temperate regions, and 26-30 °C PET for those residing in (sub)tropical regions.

Fig. 4 shows the PET frequencies on temperate scale during whole day (02:00-23:00). The frequencies of “neutral” are between 10 and 47% of the time of each month. From
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Fig. 5 shows the PET frequencies during whole day (02:00-23:00) under sub(tropical) scale. The frequencies of “neutral” are almost uniform for each month for the whole year, however September and November show the highest and the lowest frequency of 22% and 7%, respectively. The frequencies for the rest of the months are of around 14% of the time. The frequencies of “feeling slightly cool to cool” (PET<22°C) achieve of about 40% for the whole year, except June, July and August indicate frequencies of 55-65%. When considering the frequency of “feeling cool” (PET<22°C), from June to September reach the frequencies in the range as high as 25-40% of the time. Similarly, the frequencies of “feeling slightly warm to very hot” (PET>30°C) indicate that from June to September achieve of as high as about 30% of the time, whereas the rest of the months shows higher around 50-65%. When the tourists can adapt to the “feeling slightly cool to cool”, they can perceive thermally comfortable during all of the months, however during May-September is more preferred time. In general, from the figure, it can be seen that, distribution of “neutral” scale is very similar for each month during the study period, which means that all the months are thermally comfortable for the tourists living in sub(tropical) region, even from May to September shows more thermally comfortable than the rest of the months throughout the years.

The results analysis in Figs. 4 and 5 demonstrate that the climate seems to be colder with sub(tropical) region scale than with temperate region scale. The above results also demonstrate that thermal comfort (“neutral” perception) frequencies for tourists from temperate region are higher than those for tourists from (sub) tropical region, indicating that tourism potential based on thermal comfort is high for those from temperate regions. In addition, tourists coming from temperate region more adaptable to the climate with “feeling cool” perception than that of perceived by those from the (sub)tropical region. This is similar to the results by Lin and Matzarakis [18] for their study in Kaohsiung City of Taiwan in which represents tropical region.

Fig. 6 shows the PET frequencies on sub(tropical) scale around noon (11:00-17:00 LST), which representing daytime outdoor activities. The frequencies of “neutral” are less than 1% of the time (not clearly shown on the figure), which are much lower than that of the bioclimatic conditions for the whole day observation. The frequencies of “feeling warm to very hot” (PET>29 ⁰C) are more than 65% of the time of each month, where from June to September indicates the lowest one. The frequencies of “feeling very hot” (PET > 42 ⁰C) are from November to March as high as 4.9% of the time, where the highest frequency is occurred in December and February. The frequencies of “feeling slightly warm to cool” (PET<29 ⁰C) as high as 32-36% occurred during June-September, which indicates similar pattern to the “neutral perception” during observation for the whole day as shown in Figure 4. From the figure, it can be summarized that the thermal comfort of the tourists living in temperate region is very low around noon.
Fig. 7 illustrates the PET frequencies on sub(tropical) scale around noon (11:00-17:00 LST). The frequencies of “neutral” are between 6 and 29% of the time of each month. From May to September, the “neutral” perception is high as of about 27%. The frequencies of “feeling slightly cool” (PET < 22 °C) shows similar pattern to the frequencies of “neutral” perception. June, July and August show the frequency of as high as 17%, where July indicates the highest one. The frequencies of “feeling hot to very hot” (PET > 38 °C) are not profound during June-August, whereas the rest of the months indicate the frequency as high as of about 30%, where the highest frequency is in November. From the figure, it can be seen that all of the months are comfortable for those tourists residing in sub(tropical) region. The frequencies of thermal comfort (“neutral” perception) around noon are higher than that of those for the whole day observation.

From Figs. 6 and 7, it can be summarized that low PETs occurrence between 11:00 and 17:00 occurred frequently from June to September for both temperate and sub(tropical) scales. However, under sub(tropical) scale, the frequencies of thermal comfort are higher that of under temperate scale. The results reveal that the thermal comfort of the tourists coming from sub(tropical region is better than that of temperate region during around noon. In other words, the tourists from sub(tropical) region are more tolerant with warmer environment than that of tourists from temperate region around noon.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The results of present study reveal that:

a. During whole day (02:00-23:00 LST), the tourists from temperate region perceive more comfortable than that of the tourists from (sub)tropical region. Frequencies of thermal comfort (“neutral” perception) for tourists from temperate region are higher than those for tourists from (sub)tropical region, indicating that tourism potential based on thermal comfort is high for those from temperate region.

b. During around noon (11:00-17:00 LST), the tourists from temperate region are less tolerant with warmer environment than that of the tourists from (sub)tropical region. In other words, thermal comfort of the tourists coming from (sub) tropical region is better than that of those tourists from temperate region during course of the time.

c. With regards to the thermal comfort perception, the most suitable time for travelling is from June to September, particularly in July and August for tourists from temperate region, whereas all year round is appropriate for the tourists from (sub)tropical region.
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