

In Cooperation With



INDIA




THAILAND



-

#### THAILAND







المرية ماليزيل INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA ويُنْجَرُسُهُمْ الْمُرْكُرُوالْجَارَا بِعَنْهُ مَالَمُ مُنْعَاتً

MALAYSIA



# 2°CEL® 7°CEL® 70°CEL®

## 20, 21, 22 MAY 2014 Bandar Lampung University, Indonesia **PROCEEDINGS**

Hosted by Teacher Training and Education Faculty (FKIP), English Education Study Program, Bandar Lampung University (UBL)

and a second of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

# PROCEEDINGS

### THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON EDUCATION AND LANGUAGE

# 2nd ICEL 2014

### 20 - 22 MAY 2013



Organized by: Faculty of Teacher Training and Education (FKIP), English Education Study Program of Bandar Lampung University Zainal Abidin Pagar Alam street No.89 Labuhan Ratu, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia Phone: +62 721 36 666 25, Fax: +62 721 701 467 www.ubl.ac.id

#### PREFACE

The activities of the International Conference are in line and very appropriate with the vision and mission of Bandar Lampung University (UBL) to promote training and education as well as research in these areas.

On behalf of the Second International Conference of Education and Language (2<sup>nd</sup> ICEL 2014) organizing committee, we are very pleased with the very good responses especially from the keynote speakers and from the participants. It is noteworthy to point out that about 80 technical papers were received for this conference

The participants of the conference come from many well known universities, among others: University of Wollongong, NSW Australia, International Islamic University Malaysia, Kyoto University (Temple University (Osaka), Japan - Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India - West Visayas State University College of Agriculture and Forestry, Lambunao, Iloilo, Philipine - Bahcesehir University, Istanbul, Turkey - The Higher Institute of Modern Languages, Tunisia - University of Baku, Azerbaijan - Sarhad University, KPK, Pakistan - Medical Sciences English Language Teacher Foundation Program, Ministry of Health, Oman - Faculty School of Arts and Sciences, Banga, Aklan Philippines - Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Banten, - Pelita Harapan University, Jakarta - STIBA Saraswati Denpasar, Bali - University of Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta - Ahmad Dahlan University Yogyakarta - Sriwijaya University, Palembang - Islamic University of Malang - IAIN Raden Fatah Palembang - Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia - Universitas Haluoleo Kendari - State Islamic University of Sunan Gunung Djati, Bandung - Tadulako University, Central Sulawesi - Sanata Dharma University - Lampung University and Open University,

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the International Advisory Board members, sponsors and also to all keynote speakers and all participants. I am also grateful to all organizing committee and all of the reviewers who contribute to the high standard of the conference. Also I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the Rector of Bandar Lampung University (UBL) who gives us endless support to these activities, so that the conference can be administrated on time.

Bandar Lampung, 20 May 2014

Drs. Harpain, M.A.T., M.M 2<sup>nd</sup> lCEL 2014 Chairman

#### PROCEEDINGS

The Second International Conference on Education and Language (2<sup>nd</sup> ICEL 2014) BANDAR LAMPUNG UNIVERSITY Bandar Lampung, Indonesia May 20,21,22 2014

#### STEERING COMMITTEE

#### Executive Advisors

Dr. Ir. M. Yusuf S. Barusman, MBA Prof. Dr. Khomsahrial Romli, M.Si Dr. Lintje Anna Marpaung, S.H.,M.H Drs. Thontowie, M.S Dr. Andala Rama Putra Barusman, S.E., M.A.Ec Mustafa Usman, Ph.D

#### Chairman

Drs. Harpain, M.A.T., M.M

#### Co-Chairman

Tissa Zadya, S.E., M.M

#### Secretary

Helta Anggia, S.Pd., M.A

#### Treasurer

Tissa Zadya, S.E., M.M

#### Managing Committee Team

Drs. Harpain, M.A.T., M.M Helta Anggia, S.Pd., M.A Tissa Zadya, S.E., M.M Yanuarius Y. Dharmawan, S.S., M.Hum R. Nadia R.P Dalimunthe, S.S., M.Hum Bery Salatar, S.Pd Kartini Adam, S.E Nazil Chupra Hakim, S.Pd Miryanti Feralia, S.Pd

#### **Table Of Content**

Preface	iii
Paper Presenter :	
<ul> <li>39. Structures Of The West Lampung's Wayak Oral Literature - Armina</li> <li>40. At A Glance Information System In LMS For Education Language - Arnes Yuli Vandika, Eka Imama Novita Sari, Ade Kurniawan, Dina Ika</li> </ul>	
Wahyuningsih 41. E-Education : Social Media Network For Distance Learning System - Arnes Yuli Vandika, Eka Imama Novita Sari, Ade Kurniawan, Ruri	11-2/1
Koesliandana	
Husin	
<ul> <li>Year 2013 – 2014 - Bastian Sugandi</li> <li>44. The Impact Of The Application Of Make-A Match Technique Towards Students' Vocabulary Mastery - Dewi Maduratna</li> </ul>	
45. The Effect Of The Application Of Contextual Teaching And Learning (Ctl) On The Students' Vocabulary Mastery At Grade Seven Of Smp Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung In Academic Year 2013-2014 - Dewi	
Marsela	
<ul> <li>Ability In Recount Text Writing - Eka Rindi Astuti</li></ul>	
48. The Analysis Of Conditional Sentence Errors Pattern In Writing Sentences Of The Eleventh Grade Students Of Sma Negeri 5 Bandar	
Lampung - Fangky Adetia 49. The effect Of Mind Mapping Technique Towards The Students' Speaking Ability At 7th Grade Of SMPN 4 Bandar Lampung - Frederika Mei	
Anggraeni 50. Teaching English Words' Literal Meaning To 2nd Semester Students Of FKIP UBL Through Stage Hypnosis - Helta Anggia	
<ul> <li>51. Politeness And Camaraderie: How Types Of Form Matter In Indonesian Context - Jumanto</li></ul>	II-335
52. The Effect Of Using Pictures On Students' Speaking Ability At Grade Eight Of SMPN 2 Seputih Mataram Lampung Tengah - LaurisaWidyaningrum	II-351
53. Time Expansion And Clear Speech To Improve Speech Recognition In Older Adults – Lusy Tunik Muharlisiani, Supeno, Danny Yatika 54. The Proof Spect Training For Health – M.C. Tri Atmodia	
<ul> <li>54. The Breath Sport Training For Health - M.C. Tri Atmodjo</li> <li>55. The Correlation Between The Students' Past Tense Mastery And Their Ability In Translating Narrative Texts - Marita Safitri</li> </ul>	

56. The Effect Of The Application Of Suggestopedia Teaching Method	
Toward Students' Speaking Ability Of Grade Eleven At SMA Adiguna	
Bandarlampung 2014 - Meidian Putri Zusana	II-374
57. The Application Of Snakes And Ladders Game In Teaching Vocabulary -	
Meipina	II-380
58. The Correlation Between The Students' Pronunciation Mastery And Their	
Ability In Speaking - Meylan GNA Sihombing	II-388
59. An Error Analysis Of The Use Of Present Participle Form Of The Sixth	
Semester Students Of FKIP Universitas Bandar Lampung - Miryanti	
Feralia	II-394
60. The Impact Of The Application Of SQ3R Method (Survey, Question,	
Read, Recite, Review) Towards Students' Reading Comprehension - Ni	
Nengah Parwati	II-399
61. The Correlation Between Students' Narrative Text Identification Ability	
And Their Narrative Text Writing Ability - Padila Dewi	II-405
62. Representation Of National Final Exam In Indonesian News Of	
detik.com – R. Nadia R. P. Dalimunthe	II-410
63. The Influence Of Using Crossword Puzzle Towards The Students' Vocabulary Mastery – Ria Anggelia Tambun	
Vocabulary Mastery – Ria Anggelia Tambun	II-416
64. Teaching Learning Method Development With The Assignment To	
Outline Portions Of The Textbook - Sarjito Surya	II-422
65. The Influence Of The Application Of Pairs Check Technique (PCT)	
Towards Students' Writing Ability At Grade Ten Of Sma Catur Karya	
Tulang Bawang 2014 - Siti Nuryati	II-426
66. The Influence Of The Application Of Problem Based Learning Towards	
The Students' Speaking Abilty Of Grade Eleven Of Sma Negeri 5 Bandar	
Lampung - Sumardi Hussein	II-430
67. The Impact Of Using Pictures On The Students' Vocabulary Mastery At	
Grade Three Of SD Negeri 2 Rulung Raya Natar South Lampung In	
Academic Year 2013-2014 – Surya Adi Tama	II-436
68. The Influence Of Task-Based Instruction Towards The Students' Ability	
In Writing Procedure Text At Grade Ten Of Sma Tri Sukses Natar	
Lampung Selatan In Academic Year 2013-2014 - Tuti Rasminah	II-442
69. The Impact Of Using Thesaurus Program In Microsoft Word Towards	
Students' Vocabulary Mastery -Wenny Octaria Tami	II-445
70. An Analysis Of Traditional Grammar, Immediate Constituent Analysis,	
And X-Bar Syntax Theory - Yanuarius Yanu Dharmawan	II-453
71. The Impact Of Using Skimming And Scanning Strategies Of Descriptive	
Text Towards Students' Reading Comprehension At Grade Eight Of	
SMPN 22 Bandar Lampung - Yuli Fatmawati	II-463

#### THE EFFECT OF THE APPLICATION OF CONTEXTUAL TEACHING AND LEARNING (CTL) ON THE STUDENTS' VOCABULARY MASTERY AT GRADE SEVEN OF SMP GAJAH MADA BANDAR LAMPUNG IN ACADEMIC YEAR 2013-2014

Dewi Marsela Universitas Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

Corresponding email: dewimarsela28@gmail.com

#### Abstract

The objective of this research is to find out the effect of the application of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) on the students' vocabulary mastery at grade seven of SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung in academic year 2013-2014. Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) was applied to increase the students' vocabulary mastery which was used as one of visual method to help the students to master vocabulary and make them interested in learning English. The data was collected by in cooperating two pre-tests and two post-tests in experimental group and control group. Treatment using Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) was administered in experimental group, while control group received by other technique without any special treatment. The data analysis was attempted using t-Test for two group design. The result indicated that p-value 1% = 2.68, 5% = 2.00, and t-value = 3.77. It means that p-value is smaller than t-value. Therefore, hypothesis testing showed that null hypothesis  $(H_0)$  was rejected and alternative hypothesis (H<sub>a</sub>) was accepted. The writer concluded that the application of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) was more effective than other technique without any special treatment. It can be concluded that teaching vocabulary through Contextual Teaching Learning (CTL) is quite success. It can be seen on the result of calculated the students' score. Vocabulary scores that the students who learn vocabulary through Contextual Teaching Learning has a significant difference. It means that there is a significant influence of using Contextual Teaching Learning in teaching vocabulary.

Keywords: Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL), vocabulary mastery.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

English is the first foreign language taught at every school in Indonesia. The Indonesian government chooses English as the first foreign language to be taught in schools. For example, English as a major subject is considered for the students from elementary school to university students. Therefore, they realize how important English in their life is. English as an international language is spoken all over the world. In the global era, English takes an important role as a communication language used in many sectors of life, such as trading, bilateral relationship, politic, science, technology and many others. People should understand and master English in order to gain broader knowledge, information and technology. Foreign language is a language studied in an environment where it is not primary vehicle in daily interaction and where input in that language is restricted (Murcia, 2001:359).

One of method that can be used in learning vocabulary is Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL). Contextual Teaching and Learning is a learning that relates the material in their real life situation that encourages students to be able to apply them in their lives. They also know the meaning of their activities at school. For that reason, it can help the students become more understand about vocabulary. Sanjaya (2006:225) extended three things that we have to understand in Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL). The first is Contextual stresses on the process of involving students to find the subject matter. Second is Contextual teaching and Learning (CTL) motivates the students to find the relationship between material and situation in the real life. Third is Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) encourages students to be

#### The Second International Conference on Education and Language (2<sup>nd</sup> ICEL) 2014 Bandar Lampung University (UBL), Indonesia

able to apply the material in the real own life. Furthermore, Howey R. and Keneth (2001) as cited in Rusman (2012: 189-190) state that Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) is teaching that enables learning in which students employ their academic understanding and abilities in a variety of in and out of school context to solve simulation or in the real world condition, both alone and with others. Besides, Komalasari (2010:6) states that contextual teaching and learning is a conception of teaching and learning that help teachers relate subject matter content to real world situations and motivate students to make connections between knowledge. This application is very interesting because the material to their lives in the hard work that learning requires. According to Dikdasmen (2003), as cited in Komalasari (2010, 11-13) states that Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) as a learning approach has 7 components that underline the application of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL). There are constructivism, inquiry, questioning, learning community, modeling, reflection and authentic assessment.

### 2. THE PROCEDURE OF CONTEXTUAL TEACHING AND LEARNING (CTL) IN TEACHING VOCABULARY MASTERY

Seven main components of CTL explained above are the main requirements in classroom teaching learning process. Below are the procedures how to apply CTL, including vocabulary class activity, in the classroom teaching learning process. (1)Improve the understanding that student will learn more effectively through self-learning, self-inquiring, and self-constructing using their own knowledge and experience. Teacher need to encourage student to actively involved in learning process and use the student's idea and experience to conduct the learning and whole learning unit. (2) Doing the inquiring activity to achieved desired competences in vocabulary activity. In this step, the teacher presents the vocabulary material (e.g. in the form of certain pictures or visual aid that describes some activities) in which the student need to analyze it by their self. Try to challenge the students with their own ideas and conceptions to interpret it. Allow them to present their own idea and encourage them to apply their own ideas, experience and interest to direct learning process. (3) Create learning community or learning in groups. This step will help student to improve their leadership and their cooperation among the student. In this step, the students discuss the material with their friends and then they present it in front of the class. To make the learning process more effective, teacher need to encourage the students to express their own ideas before the teacher did it. (4) Questioning as a learning tool. it is useful for improving student's curiosity. The teachers allow the students to ask something related to the material after the discussion and presentation session finished. It will give them more understanding in material discussed. (5) Do the reflection in the end of learning to make student feel that they have learned something. Provide enough time to reflect, analyze, and respect all ideas that are expressed by all students. Teacher need to engage the students in searching the information that can be applied in solving the problem in their own life. So, the learning process will give them many benefits in their real life.

#### 3. МЕТНОД

This method used quantitative type. Quantitative type is explanation of phenomena by collecting numerical data that are analyzed using mathematically based methods or in particular statistics (Muijs, 2004). Two groups is used in this method, there are experimental group and control group. In experimental group, there is treatment that the writer used CTL as method in teaching to improving students' vocabulary mastery. Then, in control group there is no special treatment. Meanwhile, variable is needed in this research. Sukardi (2009) stated that a population is all members of well defined class of people or objects. In this study the writer chose the seven grade of SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung as the population of this research. The population will divide into two group classes. So, total of population is 165 students of grade seven in SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung. Arikunto (1997) stated that a sample is a small group which is observed. In selecting the sample, the researcher used Random Sampling Technique as the sampling technique. The sample was taken 2 classes. The experimental group was class VIIa and the control group was class VIId. The experimental Group was taught vocabulary by using Contextual Teaching and Learning method while the control group was taught by using conventional method.

Therefore, the researcher selected two classes at grade seven students of SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung as sample. They are VIIa as experimental group, which consists of 30 students and VIId as control group, which consists of 30 students. Technique that the researcher used in selecting sample was by using cluster sampling. This sampling technique was used because there were four classes in the grade VII of SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung.

#### 4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

In conducting this research, there are some steps applied. The first is finding the research subject. The research subjects are the students of grade seven of SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung in the second semester in academic year 2013/2014. There are four classes of grade VII. They are VIIa, VIIb, VIIc and VIId. In which each classes consist of 30 students. So, the total number of students at grade seven of SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung is 120 students. The second is doing sampling of the research which is done by using total cluster sampling technique. Using the technique, grade VIIa and VIIc were randomly selected. Class VIIc was selected to be the experimental group by using Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL). Meanwhile, class VIIa was selected as a control group was taught by using usually method that the teacher uses in that school. The sample consists of 30 students from the population 120 students. The pretest for experimental group and control group were conducted after choosing the sample. Next, the treatments for experimental group were given for four meetings. Then, post test for two groups were administered after the treatments had been completely to conduct the experimental group.

The result of pre-test and post-test will be statistically analyzed using t-Test two group design in order to know an effect of the application of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) on the students' vocabulary mastery at grade seven of SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung. The t-Test for two group design is appropriately adopted in some steps to calculate the result of t-Test. Furthermore, the writer calculated each score of pre-test and post-test in experimental group and control group in this inquiry.

For control group (x) which is gained with the formula:

$$Mx = \frac{\sum X}{N}$$

Figure 1. Mean for control group.

 $Mx = \frac{277}{30} = 9.23$ 

Based on the calculation above, the students' mean score of control group is 9.23. Then, the writer tried to calculate the deviation for control group by the formula:

$$x^2 = x^2 - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{N}$$

Figure 2. Calculation of degree of deviation.

$$x^{2} = 2937 - \frac{(277)^{2}}{30}$$
$$x^{2} = 2937 - \frac{76729}{30}$$
$$x^{2} = 2937 - 2557.63$$
$$x^{2} = 379.37$$

Based on the calculation above, the deviation for control group is 379.37. The deviation is used to find out the standard error from mean of difference that would be used in analyze the data by using t-Test for two group design.

Meanwhile, My is the mean score of experimental group. The function is to calculate the mean of deviation in experimental group.

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} = \frac{\sum \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{N}}$$

Figure 3. Mean for experimental group.

Where:

My : Mean score of experimental group

y : the total score of difference

N : The number of the subject in experimental group

While for experimental group (y) which is gained with the formula:

$$My = \frac{\Sigma y}{N}$$

 $My = \frac{470}{30} = 15.67$ 

Based on the calculation above, the students' mean score of experimental group is 15.67. Then, the writer tried to calculate the deviation score for experimental group with the formula:

$$y^2 = y^2 - \frac{(\Sigma y)^2}{N}$$

Figure 4. Calculation of degree of deviation.

 $y^{2} = 9422 - \frac{(470)^{2}}{30}$  $y^{2} = 9422 - \frac{220900}{30}$  $y^{2} = 9422 - 7363.33$  $y^{2} = 2058.67$ 

Based on the calculation above, the deviation for experimental group is 2058.67. The deviation is used to find out the standard error from mean of difference that would be used in analyze the data by using t-Test for two group design.

Then, the writer applied all of them into t-Test formula. t-Test formula is used to know whether there is any effect of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) on the students' vocabulary mastery at grade seven of SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung or not.

It was presented as follows:

$$t = \frac{|Mx - My|}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum x^2 + \sum y^2}{Nx + Ny - 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{Nx} + \frac{1}{Ny}\right)}}$$
$$t = \frac{|9.23 - 15.67|}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{379.37 + 2058.67}{30 + 30 - 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{30} + \frac{1}{30}\right)}}$$
$$t = \frac{6.44}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{2438.04}{58}\right)\left(\frac{2}{30}\right)}}$$
$$t = \frac{6.44}{\sqrt{42.03 \times 0.07}}$$
$$t = \frac{6.44}{\sqrt{2.94}}$$
$$t = \frac{6.44}{\sqrt{2.94}}$$
$$t = \frac{6.44}{1.71}$$
$$t = 3.77$$

Based on the calculation above, the result of t-Test is 3.77. After that, the writer calculated the degree of freedom (df) by using formula:

$$df = Nx + Ny - 2$$

Figure 5. Degree of Freedom.

Where:

df : degree of freedom

Nx : the total subject in control group

Ny : the total subject in experimental group

So, the calculation of degree of freedom (df) as follows:

 $\begin{array}{l} df = Nx + Ny - 2 \\ df = 30 + 30 - 2 \end{array}$ 

The Second International Conference on Education and Language (2<sup>nd</sup> ICEL) 2014 Bandar Lampung University (UBL), Indonesia

df = 58

Based on the calculation above, the degree of freedom (df) is 58. The function of degree of free is to find out the score in p-Value. P-value is a statistically value that details how much evidence there is to reject the most common explanation for the data set. P-value said to be significance, which is commonly 5% or 1% (see table of "p" value at degree of significance)

p-value = $1\% = 2.65$ 5% = 2.00
-------------------------------------

Figure 6. Probability Value

t-value = 3.77 Therefore,  $p < t \rightarrow 2.65 / 2.00 < 3.77$ 

The calculation of all the results which is the last result discussed gives findings. The data was analyzed by using t-Test formula. It indicated that p-value 1%=2.68, 5%=2.00 and t-value = 3.77. The result of the analysis showed that p-value was smaller than t-value. Therefore, null hypothesis (H<sub>o</sub>) was rejected and alternative hypothesis (H<sub>a</sub>) was accepted. Based on the findings of this study, it was found that there is significant effect of the application of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) on the students' vocabulary mastery at grade seven of SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung.

#### 5. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

The implementing of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) in teaching vocabulary mastery is intended to build knowledge by engaging students' in real life situation. By using their experience in teaching learning process, they will be easy to understand the materials and applying it. This research aimed at finding the effect by using Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) on the students' vocabulary mastery at grade seven of SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung in academic year 2013/2014 by administering two pre-tests and two post-tests, after that the researcher used t-Test for two group design to know the students' test result. Referring to the result of the research, it was seen that teaching vocabulary by using Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) can improve students' mastery in vocabulary. The result shows that t-observation is higher than t-value.

From the conclusion above, the writer would like to purpose some recommendations that can be given as follows:

First is for teacher. English teachers should choose certain methods that lead the students to become active learner. The students learn best when they experience what they are learning. By experiencing what they are learning, the students will become active learners not only waiting for teachers' transfer of knowledge. The teaching method also has to encourage the students' interest toward the lesson that they learnt. When the students get interest in teach English it will develop a good atmosphere in the class, so that the students learn easily and comfortably in their class. Second is for students. The students were suggested to do the following things. Moreover, they should learn actively in the classroom when teacher gave the instruction through Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL). Next is for the school. The management of SMP Gajah Mada Bandar Lampung should support the learning and teaching process especially in learning English by providing some media that can be used by the teacher and the students' such as relevant books, English laboratory, Speaker, LCD, projector, flash cards. This can stimulate teachers' and students' interest in teaching and learning English better. The last is for other researchers. This research studies the implementation of CTL in improving the students' vocabulary mastery. It is hoped that the result of the study can be used as additional reference for further research in different context that will give contribution in teaching English for the students.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Arikunto, S. 1997. Procedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek. Yogyakarta. PT. Rireka Cipta.
- [2] Brown, H. D. 2004. *Language Assessment Principles and Classroom Practices*, San Francisco State University: Longman.
- [3] Burns, Anne. 1999. *Collaborative Action Research for English Language Teacher*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Frank, M. 1972. Modem English: a Practical Reference Guide. New York. Prentice-Hall Inc.
- [5] Harmer, J. (1991). *The Practice of Language Teaching New Edition*. London: Longman.

- [6] , (2001). The practice of English Language teaching ( $3^{rd}$  edition). London: Longman.
- [7] Johnson, E. B. 2002. *Contextual Teaching and Learning: What it is and why it's here to stay,* Corwin Press Inc, California.
- [8] Komalasari, K. 2010. Pembelajaran Kontekstual: Konsep dan Aplikasi, PT. Refika Aditama, Bandung.
- [9] Lado, R. 1979. *Language Teaching a Scientific Approach*. Bombay-New Delhi: tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Co.LTD.
- [10] Lubis, Marista, 2005. The effect of using contextual teaching and learning (CTL) method on students' achievement in writing recount text at tenth grade of SMA Swasta Sultan Iskandar Muda. (unpublished thesis)
- [11] Muijs, D. 2004. *Doing Quantitative Research in Education*. Athenaeum Press Ltd, Britain.
- [12] Murcia, M.C. 2001. *Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language*, New York: Heinle & Heinle.
- [13] Nunan, D. 1991. Practical English Language Teaching, New York: Mc. Graw Hill.
- [14] Nurhadi, 2004. The Washington State Consortium for Contextual Teaching and Learning in Pembelajaran Kontextual (CTL) dan penerapannya dalam KBK, (Malang: Universitas Negeri Malang).
- [15] Rusman. 2012. Model-model Pembelajaran. Jakarta. PT Rajagrafindo Persada.
- [16] Sanjaya, W. 2006. Strategi Pembelajaran Berorientasi Standar Proses Pendidikan. Jakarta, Kencana.
- [17] Schmitt, N. 2000. Vocabulary in Language Teaching. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- [18] Sukardi, 2009, Metodelogi Penelitian Pendidikan Kompetensi Dan Prakteknya. Pt. Bumi Aksara, Jakarta.
- [19] Wallace, M.J. 1988. Teaching Vocabulary. New York: Haineman Educational Book Ltd, New York.
- [20] Wijarwadi, Wendi. 2008. The Effectiveness of Contextual Teaching and Learning in Teaching Speaking (An Experimental Study at the First Grade Students of SMAN 1 Ciputat Academic Year 2007/2008).





JI. Z.A. Pagar Alam No.26 Labuhan Ratu Bandar Lampung 35142 Phone: +62 721 701463 www.ubl.ac.id Lampung - Indonesia

copyright@2013