



in
cooperation
with

INDONESIA



Universiteit Utrecht

NETHERLANDS



الجامعة الإسلامية العالمية ماليزيا
INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA
يؤنسوسقو اناكرا اناكرا اناكرا اناكرا اناكرا

MALAYSIA



THAILAND



PAKISTAN

3rd ImCoSS

THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY
CONFERENCE ON SOCIAL SCIENCES

5 - 7 JUNE 2015

BANDAR LAMPUNG UNIVERSITY
INDONESIA

PROCEEDINGS

Hosted by :

- Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
- Faculty of Economics and Business
- Faculty of Law
- Faculty of Social and Political Sciences



universitas
bandar lampung
SOLUTION FOR PRESENT AND FUTURE



3rd IMCoSS 2015

**THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY
CONFERENCE ON SOCIAL SCIENCES**

5, 6 June 2015
Bandar Lampung University (UBL)
Lampung, Indonesia

PROCEEDINGS

Organized by:



Bandar Lampung University (UBL)
Jl. Zainal Abidin Pagar Alam No.89 Labuhan Ratu, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia
Phone: +62 721 36 666 25, Fax: +62 721 701 467
website : www.ubl.ac.id

PREFACE

The Activities of the International Conference are in line and very appropriate with the vision and mission of Bandar Lampung University (UBL) to promote training and education as well as research in these areas.

On behalf of the **The Third International Multidisciplinary Conference on Social Sciences (The 3rd IMCoSS) 2015** organizing committee, we are very pleased with the very good response especially from the keynote speaker and from the participants. It is noteworthy to point out that about 112 technical papers were received for this conference.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the International Advisory Board members, sponsor and also to all keynote speakers and all participants. I am also grateful to all organizing committee and all of the reviewers who contribute to the high standard of the conference. Also I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the Rector of Bandar Lampung University (UBL) who give us endless support to these activities, so that the conference can be administrated on time

Bandar Lampung, 6 June 2015

Mustofa Usman, Ph.D
Chairman of 3rd IMCoSS 2015

PROCEEDINGS

3rd IMCoSS 2015

The Third International Multidisciplinary Conference
on Social Sciences
5, 6 June 2015

INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY BOARD

M. Yusuf S. Barusman, Indonesia

Andala R.P. Barusman, Indonesia

Mustofa Usman, Indonesia

Khaliq Ahmad, Malaysia

Muhammad Azam, Pakistan

John Walsh, Thailand

Agus Wahyudi, Indonesia

Harpain, Indonesia

Susanto, Indonesia

Hayyan Ul Haq, Netherlands

Ida Madhieha A Ghani Azmi, Malaysia

Andrik Purwasito

Antonius PS. Wibowo, Indonesia

Torla Bin Hj.Hassan, Malaysia

Lintje Anna Marpaung Indonesia

Bambang Hartono, Indonesia

Erlina B, Indonesia

Zulfi Diane Zaini, Indonesia

Agus Wahyudi, Indonesia

Harpain, Indonesia

Khomsahrial Romli, Indonesia

Ida Farida, Indonesia

I Gusti Ayu Ketut Rahmi, Indonesia

Zainab Ompu Jainah, Indonesia

Iskandar AA, Indonesia

Habiburahman, Indonesia

M. Achmad Subing, Indonesia

Angrita Denziana, Indonesia

PROCEEDINGS

3rd IMCoSS 2015

The Third International Multidisciplinary Conference
on Social Sciences
5, 6 June 2015

GENERAL COMMITTEE

Executive Advisory

Dr. Ir. M Yusuf S. Barusman, MBA
Dr. Andala Rama Putra, SE, M.A, Ec.
Dr. Lintje Anna Marpaun, SH., MH.
Drs. Thontowie, MS

Chairman

Dr. Hery Riyanto, MT

Secretary

Bery Salatar, S.Pd.

STREERING COMMITTEE

Chairman

Mustofa Usman, Ph.D

Secretary

Susanto, SS, M.Hum, MA, Ph.D

Technical Committee of Law Division

Dr. I Gusti Ayu Ketut Rachmi Handayani, SH., MH
Dr. Erina Pane, SH., MH
Dr. Bambang Hartono, SH., M.Hum.
Dr. Zulfi Diane Zaini, SH.,MH
Dr. Zainab Ompu Jainah, SH., MH
Dr. Tami Rusli, SH.,M.Hum
Dr. Erlina B, SH.,M.Hum

*Technical Committee of Economics, Business,
and Management Division*

Prof. Dr. Sudarsono
Dr. Andala Rama Putra, M.A, Ec.
Dr.Lindrianasari, S.E., M.Si.,Akt. CA
Dr. Angrita Denziana, SE.,MM., Ak, CA
Dr. Iskandar Ali Alam, MM
Tina Miniawati, SE., MBA.
Dra. Rosmiati Tarmizi, MM, Ak.
Afrizal Nilwan, SE,,M.Ec., Akt.

Technical Committee of Social Sciences Division

Dr. Yadi Lustiadi, M.Si.
Dr. Supriyanto, M.Si.
Dr. Ahmad Suharyo, M.Si.
Dr. Wawan Hernawan, M.Pd.
Dr. Dra. Ida Farida, M.Si.

Technical Committee of language, Teaching and Education

Susanto, SS, M.Hum, MA, Ph.D
Deri Sis Nanda, SS., MA., Ph.D
Hery Yufrizal, Ph.D
Harpain, MA
Helta Anggia, MA
Yanuaris Yanu Dharmawan, M.Hum
Dameria Magdalena S., M.Pd

PROCEEDINGS

3rd IMCoSS 2015

The Third International Multidisciplinary Conference
on Social Sciences
5, 6 June 2015

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Chairman

Drs. Harpain, MAT, MM

Secretary

Tissa Zadya, SE, MM

Treasure

Samsul Bahri, SE

Administration

Proceedings and Certificate Distribution

Dina Ika Wahyuningsih, S.Kom

Tri Nuryati, S.Kom

Ida Nahdaleni

Vida Cancer

Agung Saputra

Desi Anggraini

Indah Satria, SH

Nurdiawansyah, SE

Receptionist and Registration

Rifandy Ritonga, SH, MH

Dra. Agustuti Handayani

Haninun SE, MS. Ak

Hepiana Patmarina, SE., MM

Kartini Adam, SE

Cyntia Jonathan

Sponsorship & Public Relation

Ir. Indriati A. Gultom, MM

Yulia Hesti, SH.,MH

Indah Satria, SH

Special Event

Deri Sis Nanda, SS., MA., Ph.D
Helta Anggia, S.Pd., MA
Dameria Magdalena S, M.Hum
Khairudin, SE., M.S.Ak
Aminah, SE. M.S.Ak
Tia Erisna, SE. M.Si., Ak
Olivia Tjoener, SE. MM.
Drs. Suwandi, MM
Dra. Azima D., MM
Risti Dwi Ramasari, SH.,MH
Siti Rahmawati, SE
Arnes Yuli V., S.Kom., .M.Kom
Recca Ayu Hapsari, SH., MH
Benny Karya, SH., MH
Mellisa Safitri, SH., MH

Transportation & Accommodation

Irawati, SE
Zainal Abidin, SE
Desi Puspitasari, SH

Consumption

Dra. Yulfriwini, MT
Susilowati, ST., MT

Documentation

Noning Verawati, S.Sos, MA
UBL Production

Table Of Content

Preface.....	ii
International Advisory Board	iii
Steering Committee.....	iv
Organizing Committee	vi
Table of Content	viii
Keynote Speaker :	
1. Cultural Tourism and Trade in Indigenous People's Art and Craft: A Gap Analysis of International Legal Treatise and National Legislation – Ida Madieha bt. Abdul Ghani Azmi	I-1
2. Contrasting Islamic Leadership Styles (An Empirical Study Of Muslim Majority And Minority Countries) - Khaliq Ahmad	I-10
Paper Presenter :	
ECONOMICS :	
1. An Analysis of The Influence of Aggregate Expenditure Regional Gross Domestic Product Growth In The Lampung Province – H.M.A. Subing	II-1
2. Effect on The Quality of Passenger Satisfaction (Study in Radin Inten II Airport South Lampung) – Ardansyah and Stefanny Ellena Rushlan	II-7
3. Factors That Affect Longevity Of Business Relationships – Margaretha Pink Berlianto and Innocentius Bernarto.....	II-12
4. Millennials Green Culture: The Opportunity And Challenge (A Case Study Of Higher Education Student) - Ika Suhartanti Darmo	II-21
5. Preferences Prospective Students In Choosing The Study Program (University X In Bandar Lampung) - Indriati Agustina Gultom and Wahyu Pamungkas	II-29
6. The Effect Of Growth, Profitability And Liquidity To Bond Rating Of The Banking Firms Listed On The Indonesian Stock Exchange (Period 2009- 2013) - Syamsu Rizal and Winda Sutanti	II-34
7. The Influences Of Investment On Regional Gross Domestic Product (RGDP) In Lampung - Habiburrahman	II-42
8. The Influences Of Bank Product Socialization And Electronic Payment System Quality On Intention To Use E-Money In Indonesia - Cynthia Jonathan, Rina Erlanda and Zainal Arifin Hidayat	II-46
9. The Influence Of Inflation, GDP Growth, Size, Leverage, And Profitability Towards Stock Price On Property And Real Estate Companies Listed In	

Indonesia Stock Exchange Period 2005-2013 - Herry Gunawan Soedarsa and Prita Rizky Arika	II-50
10. The Influence Of Investment Opportunity Set (IOS) And Profitability Towards Stock Return On Property And Real Estate Firms In Indonesia Stock Exchange - Grace Ruth Benedicta, Herlina Lusmeida	II-57
11. The Influence Of Prosperity And Finacial Performance With Respect To Equalization Funds Of The Government District/City In All Southern Sumatra Regions - Rosmiati Tarmizi, Khairudin and Felisya Fransisca	II-66
26. The Influence of The Financial Performance and Macroeconomic Factors To Stock Return - Angrita Denziana, Haninun, and Hepiana Patmarina.....	II-73
27. The Economical Analysis Of Mechanization In Land Preparation For Plantation - M.C. Tri Atmodjo	II-81
28. The Performance of Undiversified Portfolio In Indonesia Stock Exchange - Budi Frensidy	II-84
29. An Analysis of Fast Improvement Program of Human Resources for Employee Satisfaction of PT. PLN (Persero), Bandar Lampung Power Sector - Sapmaya Wulan and Kiki Keshia	II-89
30. Engineering Model of Economic Institution Insugarcane Agribusiness Partnership (Case Study on Sugar Cane Agribusiness Partnership between Farmers Cooperative and Sugar Factory in Way Kanan Regency of Lampung Province-Indonesia) – Syahril Daud and Adrina Yustitia	II-97

LAW :

1. Analysis Of Convict's Rights In Judicial Review Of Narcotics Criminal Case - Yulianto	III-1
2. Comparison Of Authority Of The Conditional Court In India And Thailand In Judicial Review – Indah Satria	III-4
3. Criminal Law Policy As An Effort Of Overcoming Crime Towards Protected Animals - Benny Karya Limantara and Bambang Hartono	III-9
4. Decentralization Evaluation in Indonesia : The Dynamics of Relation Central Government and Local Government - Dewi Nurhalimah	III-15
5. Denial Of Labor Rights By Liberal Legal Regime In The Outsourcing System - Cornelius C.G, Desi Rohayati and Ricco Andreas	III-20
6. Design Of The Special / Special For Inclusion In The System Of The Republic Of Indonesia By Constitution Of The Republic Of Indonesia 1945 - Baharudin.....	III-22
7. Dilemma of State Sovereignty Protecting the Homeland Indonesia (Studies Agrarian Constitution) - FX. Sumarja	III-27
8. From State Sovereignty To People Sovereignty: The Development of State Control Doctrine in Indonesia Constitutional Court Decision - Utia Meylina	III-32

9. Law Function As Instrument To Build a Stability of Moral Economy in
Globalization Era - Hieronymus Soerjatisnanta and M Farid Al-Rianto III-36
10. The Analysis Of Criminal Liability For Crimes Perpetrators Of The Crime
Of Human Trafficking – Dharma Saputra III-45
11. The Death Penalty: Pancasila, With Efforts To Eradicated Drugs -
Anggun Ariena R. and Ade Oktariatas Ky III-48
12. The Existence of Government Regulation in Liew of Law or Peraturan
Pemerintah Pengganti Undang-Undang (Perppu) in Legal Systems of the
Republic of Indonesia - Rifandy Ritonga III-53
13. The Fulfilment Of The Right To Health Services Through Control Of
Ombudsman Functions In The Region - Agus Triono III-57
14. The Tort Of Multimodal TransportatioAgreement -
Dio Adewastia Fajaranu III-64
15. Uprising Of Village Democracy: Challenge And Opportunities For Village -
James Reinaldo Rumpia III-70
16. Comparative Law of Cartels between Indonesia and Japan (Review of Act
No. 5 of 1999 concerning Prohibition of Monopolistic Practices and Unfair
Business Competition and the Act Concerning Prohibition of Private
Monopoly and Maintenance of Fair Trade" (Act No. 54 of 14 April 1947))
- Recca Ayu Hapsari III-77
17. The Role Of Adat Community As The Part Of Normative Systems In Paser
- Melisa Safitri III-83

SOCIAL SCIENCE :

1. An Using E-CRM To Improve Market Value Companies (Research Study at
EF Bandar Lampung) - Ruri Koesliandana, Arnes Y. Vandika, and Dina Ika
Wahyuningsih IV-1
2. Analysis Of The Quality Of Public Health Field – Siti Masitoh IV-4
3. Charges Of Indonesia Labor / Workers Against Proper Living Needs That
Can Meet The Minimum Wage – Agustuti HandayaniIV-13
4. Community Response On Changes Regional Head Election System (Study
On Environmental Public Housing Way Kandis Bandar Lampung) -
Wawan Hernawan and Mutia Ravenska.....IV-16
5. Compensation Policy Implementation Of Fuel Oil, In The District Konawe,
Southeast Sulawesi Province (Study on Implementation of Direct Cash
Assistance) – Malik and Noning VerawatiIV-21
6. Crowd Funding, Social Entrepreneurship and Sustainable Development -
Hery Wibowo.....IV-29
7. Euphoria and Social Media Related to Organizational Effectiveness, Based
on Gangnam Style Case - Astadi Pangarso and Cut Irna SetiawatiIV-32

8. Financial Management In Public And Private Junior High Schools -
Suwandi and SoewitoIV-40
9. Gender Mainstreaming In Glasses of Public Administration at Banten
Province - Ipah Ema JumiatiIV-47
10. Impact From Social Media To Social Life -
Eka Imama N, Ade Kurniawan, Yoga Dwi Goesty D.S, and Arnes Y. VandikaIV-56
11. Implementation of Public Private Partnership in The Management Market
RAU (Rau Trade Center) In Serang City - RahmawatiIV-59
12. The Values Of Democracy In The Implementation Local Political Agenda
In Kendari - Jamal BakeIV-67
13. Evaluation Of Health Services Regional Public Hospital Besemah in Pagar
Alam City of South Sumatra -
Yuslainiwati, Budiman Rusli, Josy Adiwisastra, and Sinta NingrumIV-77
14. The Impact Of It Social Network Path In The Students Of Community -
Arnes Yuli VandikaIV-82
15. The Development of Women's Participation in Political Life -
Azima DimiyatiIV-86

EDUCATION :

1. An Analysis of Students' Gramatical Error in Using Passive Voice at Grade
Ten of SMA Persada Bandar Lampung 2014 - Ildhias Pratiwi Putri..... V-1
2. An Error Analysis of Speaking Present Tense on English Conversation on
Program of PRO 2 Radio Bandar Lampung – Maryana Pandawa V-5
3. Developing Students' Writing Skill by Diary Writing Habit -
Fatima A. Putri, Bery Salatar, and Susanto..... V-8
4. Discourse Analysis Of Gettysburg Address -Yanuaris Yanu Darmawan V-11
5. Error Analysis of SMA Pangudi Luhur Bandar Lampung Students'
Translation in Using Meaning-Based Translation. – Kefas Ajie Bhekti V-18
6. Improving Students Affective Domain Through Asian Parliamentary
Debate Technique – Purwanto V-24
7. Online Authentic Materials For Learning English - AgniaMuti, Ezra
Setiawan, and Ida Oktaviani V-36
8. Politeness Strategies As Persuasive Tool In Magazine Advertisements
Circulated In Lombok Tourism Spots – Lalu Abdul Khalik and Diah
Supatmiwat V-39
9. Simple Past Tense Of The First Grade Students Of SMP Negeri 1 Seputih
Banyak In Academic Year Of 2014/2015 - Qory Fahrnis Firdaus V-47
10. Supporting Learners' Autonomy Through Distance Language Learning -
Dameria Magdalena S V-51

11. Teaching Poetry in ELT Classrooms: Some Challenges and Solutions - Bastian Sugandi and Husnaini	V-54
12. Teaching Vocabulary By Using Hypnoteaching To Second Semester Students Of Bandar Lampung University - Fransiska Anggun Arumsari	V-58
13. The Application Of Brainstorming To Improve Student's Writing Skill - Ita Brasilia Nurhasanah, Ria Martin, and Rizky Amalia	V-65
14. The Application Of Using Letter Land Technique Towards Students Vocabulary Mastery - Budianto, Elis Munawaroh, Fitri Anggraini, and Yuni Arifah	V-68
15. The Application of Quiz Team Technique to Improve Students' Understanding on Simple Present Tense at Grade Seven at SMPN 26 Bandar Lampung – Rosdawati	V-71
16. The Art Of Seduction Of Giacomo Casanova An Analysis Of “The Story Of My Life” - Helta Anggia	V-75
17. The Effect Of The Application Of The News Presentation Towards Students' Speaking Ability Of Grade Eleven At SMK Negeri 1 Seputih Agung - Risdiana Yusuf	V-78
18. The Effect Of The Teacher's Feedback Approach Towards Students' Descrptive Writing Skill At Grade Tenth Of SMK Bhakti Utama Bandar Lampung - Nila Kurnijanti	V-83
19. The Improvement Of Students' Vocabulary Achievement By Using Direct Method Of SMP Wiyatama Bandar Lampung - Putri Nurhayani	V-85
20. The Influence Of Lampungnese Ethnicity Accent On Dialect A To Lampungnese Students' Pronunciation Ability At English Education Study Program - Anggi Okta Dinata	V-88
21. The Influence of Using Scrambled Pictures to Improve Students' Ability in Writing Narrative Text of Eleventh Grade Students of SMK Bhakti Utama Bandar Lampung - Novita Uswatun Khasanah	V-91
22. The Use of Letterland Method in Teaching Reading at Early Year Level to Pre-School Students in an Informal Education in Bandar Lampung - Alfiana Rochmah	V-94
23. TheInfluence of Using Short Video Towards the Students' Speaking Skill at Grade VII of SMPN 22 Bandar Lampung - Dita Oktapiana	V-101

THE PERFORMANCE OF UNDIVERSIFIED PORTFOLIO IN INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE

Budi Frensidy

Accounting Study Program, Faculty of Economics, Indonesia University
Corresponding author e-mail: frensidy@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT - *This research is aimed to analyze the diversification practice among domestic retail investors at the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) and its portfolio performance. An individual domestic investor at IDX holds 4.3 stocks on average with the median two stocks. This is in line with the findings of Goetzmann & Kumar (2008), Kelly (1995), and Polkovnichenko (2005). For the performance, without considering risk, minimum and moderate diversification portfolios tend to be better than the extensive diversification. However, when risk is taken into account, the undiversified portfolios do not outperform the extensive diversification.*

keywords: Domestic Retail Investor, Diversification Practice, Portfolio Performance

1. INTRODUCTION

Modern portfolio theory which was started by Markowitz (1952) teaches investors to diversify in order to minimize risk. Diversification will lower portfolio risk at a given expected return or raise expected return at a given risk.

Theoretically, most investors know the diversification benefits in reducing the risk. In practice, however, many investors do not apply the diversification theory. Research about the practice of retail investors in Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) is important as the number of retail investors by November 2011 accounts 95.4% of all investors and most of them are domestic investors.

Another interesting issue concerning the diversification practice to be found out is whether the performance of the undiversified portfolios outdoes the diversified portfolios.

Unlike the research by Goetzmann & Kumar (2008) and Mitton & Vorkink (2007), to measure performance, this research uses the category variables for the number of stocks held by retail investors. They are minimum diversification for 1-5 stocks, moderate diversification for 6 to 10 stocks, and extensive diversification for more than ten stocks. By this grouping, we will get the optimal range of the number of stocks that can generate the highest return in the sample in two measures of return, nominal return and risk-adjusted return. The study to measure the performance of retail investors in different levels of diversifications has never been done before, so this study is expected to open further research on the performance of retail investors especially in Indonesia.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The study on the underdiversification practice of the retail investors has begun since Blume and Friend (1975) found that 34.1% of a sample of 17,056 American investor, held only one dividend-paying stock, 50% had two stocks, and only 10.7% investors collected more than 10 stocks. The Survey of Reserve Board in the United States in 1975 found the same results that the average of stock holding among retail investors is 3.41. Lease,

Lewellen, and Schlarbaum (1976), King & Leape (1998), and Starr-McCluer (1995) support the above findings that most retail investors (70%) do not diversify.

Kelly (1995) confirms the same phenomenon of underdiversification that out of 632 stock investors, only 35 hold ten or more stocks, and only 11 have twenty or more stocks. Diversification cost is definitely not the reason as 75% investors whose portfolios are in the top 20% have less than ten stocks. The median of stock holding is one and it becomes two if the investors who own stocks of their own company are excluded from the sample. Another study of Barber and Odean (2000) in the United States for the period January 1991 to December 1996 captures similar phenomenon. From a sample of 78,000 stock investors, Barber and Odean report that the median of stocks owned is between 2 and 3 with the mean of 4 stocks.

Polkovnichenko's study (2005) gets almost identical results that the number of stocks retail investors have is only two in 1983 and increase to three in 1991. During that period, about 80% investors have five stocks or fewer and 90% own fewer than 10 stocks. Besides that, 40% possess only one stock in their portfolios. Seven percent of the households hold the stocks of the companies where they work. Goetzmann and Kumar (2008) also report that 25-33% of investors' portfolios contain only one stock and more than 55% consist of three or fewer stocks. This pattern happens along the observation period (1991-1996) although there is an increase in the average number of stocks held by the investors from four to seven stocks. Only 5-12% of the portfolios comprise more than ten stocks.

In Germany, retail stock investors also do not diversify (Dorn and Huberman, 2010). Of 20,000 accounts in a big securities company in the period 1995-2000, investors on average collect around three stocks.

On one hand, there is a problem with the diversification practice among the retail investors in the United States and Germany. On the other hand, there is another more important issue than this underdiversification practice namely whether the underdiversified portfolios could generate higher

return. Does diversification always give a better pay-off? If it does and it is proven significantly, retail investors are recommended to directly buy index funds.

There are two different findings about this. Goetzmann and Kumar (2008) find the undiversified portfolios always give lower risk-adjusted return in 1991-1996. The Sharpe ratios for the portfolios with two stocks was only 0.34 and increase to 0.56 when the number of stocks becomes fifteen or more. Dorn and Huberman (2010) with their preferred habitat hypothesis say that investors who do not diversify get lower Sharpe ratios because they face higher nonsystematic risk. Similar findings are given by Kumar (2009) and Hoffmann & Shefrin (2011) that there is no evidence the return is positively related to the risk faced.

Mitton and Vorkink (2007) to some extent support the above results that the risk-adjusted return of undiversified portfolios is lower than that of the diversified one. However, the skewness of the undiversified portfolios is also significantly different from the diversified one. The implication is, for the investment holding period of six months, Mitton and Vorkink (2007) found, the odds of underdiversified portfolios (to the diversified one) to be the best 1% performance is 11 to 1. For the holding period of three years, the odds become 26 to 1. In line with its upside return potential, the downside risk for the undiversified portfolio to be in the worst 1% performance is also bigger. In other words, Mitton and Vorkink (2007) in their sample find that the returns of undiversified have a very wide range.

The most interesting findings about portfolios with 2-3 stocks are given by Barber and Odean (2000). They observe the percentage of investors who do not diversify in outperforming the market namely beating the market return (S & P 500 index). About 49.3% of

the investors surveyed beat the market before taking transaction costs into account and 43.4% after considering the transaction costs. Even though we realize that the market return is the average return and that 50% investors can get return above this average, knowing that retail investors with 2-3 stocks could beat the market is beyond expectation. However, Barber and Odean (2000) also warn that the annual return of the undiversified portfolios could vary a lot from -95% to more than 11,000%. They conclude that failure to diversify, done intentionally or unintentionally, could result better return.

3. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

LQ45 Index is an index of the stock prices of 45 companies selected based on the level of trading liquidity and adjusted every six months of the year (the beginning of each February and August), so the index is always changing based on announcement refers to the *Indonesia Stock Exchange (Jakarta Stock Exchange)* No.: Peng-114 / BEJ.I / U / 1997 dated February 6, 1997, concerning "the Jakarta Stock Exchange Liquidity Index (Index LQ45). According to Sundjaja and Barlian (2003) there are criteria for the selection of stocks included in the index LQ45, namely:

- It is included in the order of 60 largest shares of the total transactions in the regular market (the average is for the last 12 months).
- The sequence is based on the market capitalization (the average market capitalization over the past 12 months).
- It has been listed on the Stock Exchange for at least three months
- Financial condition and growth prospects of the company, the frequency and the number of transactions in the regular market.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Observation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
R_i	910	.0342	.0201	-.0306	.1078
R_s	910	.1945	.1323	-.27	.95
Size	910	18.1295	1.5519	15.55	23.43
Turnover	910	.7900	1.0817	0	9.5683
D1 = 1 (Minimum Diversification)					
R_i	581	.0343	.0221	-.0306	.1078
R_s	581	.1785	.1354	-.27	.52
Size	581	17.5869	1.3441	15.62	23.1
Turnover	581	.7857	1.1894	0	10.38
D2 = 1 (Moderate Diversification)					
R_i	213	.0359	.0166	-.0159	.0795
R_s	213	.2188	.1162	-.15	.67
Size	213	18.7993	1.3516	15.55	22.76
Turnover	213	.8578	.9224	0	5.79
D1 = D2 = 0 (Extensive Diversification)					
R_i	116	.0306	.0144	-.0142	.0990
R_s	116	.2303	.1315	-.15	.95
Size	116	19.6175	1.4161	16.03	23.43
Turnover	116	.6871	.7201	0	3.54

The monthly return obtained by retail investors for 34 months from January 2009 to November 2011 is 3.43% with a standard deviation of 2.01%. Whereas the monthly Sharpe ratio for the same period is 0.19 with a standard deviation of 0.13. About 581 retail investors (63.9%) choose minimum diversification, 213 (23.4%) do moderate diversification, and only 116 (12.7%) diversify extensively.

Compared to the population parameters, these results are not much different as the mean and median of stocks held by retail investors are 4.34 and 2 stocks by the end of 2011. These findings are consistent with those of Goetzmann & Kumar (2008), Kelly (1995), and Polkovnichenko (2005).

The lowest and highest monthly return of the sample, namely -3.06% and 10.78% are experienced by the portfolio with minimum diversification. Whereas the lowest average monthly return belongs to those with the extensive diversification and the highest average return is for the investors with moderate diversification. Some retail investors who chase high returns could obtain the expected returns, while some others should be willing to accept below-the-market return or even experienced losses.

For the Sharpe ratio, the lowest average (0.18) and the minimum (-0.27) ratio are experienced by the portfolios with minimum diversification. While the highest average Sharpe ratio (0.23) and the highest Sharpe ratio (0.95) belong to the investors with extensive diversification. Based on the risk, minimum diversification gives the highest risk, seen from its Sharpe ratio or its volatility. This is consistent with the findings of Dorn & Huberman (2010) and Goetzmann & Kumar (2008) that investors who do not diversify face higher risk than those who diversify. This shows the tradeoff between risk and return. Investors who chase high return also face high risk or high return, high risk.

4.1 NOMINAL RETURN

Before estimating the parameters of the model using Stata 11, the classical assumptions in the ordinary least square are tested. For the multicollinearity assumption, VIF test is used; and for the heteroscedasticity, Breusch-Pagan test is adopted. After making sure that there are no multicollinearity and heteroscedasticity problems with the data, model estimation is run to get the following result.

Table 2. Regression Result For Nominal Return

Source	SS	df	MS		
Model	.006784522	4	.001696131	Number of obs = 910	
Residual	.361571618	905	.000399527	F(4, 905) = 4.25	
Total	.36835614	909	.000405232	Prob > F = 0.0021	
				R-squared = 0.0184	
				Root MSE = .01999	
r_i	Coefficient		Z	P > z	
Div1	.0067814		2.99	0.001***	
Div2	.0064962		2.77	0.003***	
Size	.0015535		3.17	0.001***	
Turnover	.0006476		1.05	0.146	
Constant	-.0003385		-0.03	0.486	

The estimated model becomes:

$$r_i = -0.03\% + 0.68\% \text{Div1} + 0.65\% \text{Div2} + 0.155\% \text{Size} + 0.065\% \text{Turnover} \quad (3)$$

where r_i = the average of monthly nominal return

For the overall model, p-value is 0.0021; so the coefficients altogether can be used for the model. Based on the regression result, the two coefficients of the hypothesis variables are significant at $\alpha = 1\%$. So is one of the two control variables (portfolio size).

For the coefficient test (t-test), it can be concluded that the portfolios of minimum and moderate diversification in the research sample have higher monthly nominal return than that of extensive diversification. The additional monthly return for the minimum and moderate diversification is 0.68% and 0.65% respectively. Both are significant at $\alpha = 1\%$. This higher monthly return is likely to come from the investors' competence in stock selection. Another plausible explanation is, by focusing only on few stocks, retail investors could really understand the stocks they collect and do the timing in buying and selling them (Oneil, 2002).

Even though the incremental return for the investors doing the minimum diversification is higher than those choosing the moderate diversification, the

average return of the portfolios in the moderate diversification is the highest. This is due to the fact that the average portfolio size of moderate diversification is bigger than the minimum diversification; and size is positively related to the return. The average monthly return of portfolios with moderate diversification is 3.59% while that with minimum diversification is 3.43%.

The monthly return that is obtained by investors with minimum and moderate diversification outperforms not only those with extensive diversification but also the market return which grew 3,26% monthly for the same period. The higher return of undiversified portfolios in this study is in line with the findings of Mitton and Vorkink (2007).

On the contrary, Goetzmann dan Kumar (2008) find the opposite results. They report undiversified investor on average gets lower return than the diversified investors. Nevertheless, the portfolios with high turnover in the minimum diversification could give high return, better than the portfolios in the extensive

diversification in their research. Goetzmann dan Kumar (2008) presume this group of investors have the advantages in the information or in the better investment skills.

One control variable is significant and has the expected sign. The portfolio size is positively related to the nominal return. Investors who have big money have more flexibility, access, and capacity in picking stocks that they can have a better result.

4.2 RISK-ADJUSTED RETURN

The monthly return used in 4.1 has one drawback namely it has not considered risk involved. As we know, risk and return are two sides of a coin. When risk is taken into account, we have Sharpe ratio that is used in the study of Goetzmann & Kumar (2008) and

Mitton & Vorkink (2007). Sharpe ratio that measures the excess return per unit total risk has its strength as it combines both return and risk into one ratio. This ratio is derived by dividing the excess return (r_i) over risk-free rate (r_f) by standard deviation or $(r_i - r_f)/\sigma_i$.

Therefore, for another alternative of return, Sharpe ratio is used in this study to measure the performance of undiversified portfolios compared to the diversified one. Like the nominal return, before estimating the coefficient for Sharpe ratio, multicollinearity and heteroscedasticity assumptions are examined using VIF test and Breusch-Pagan test.

The final estimation after the above tests and treatment is as follows:

Table 3. Regression Result For Sharpe Ratio

Number of obs = 910		R-squared	= 0.0460
F (4, 905) = 11.78		Prob > F	= 0.0000
Root MSE = 0.12948			
Sharpe Ratio	Coefficient	z	P > z
Div1	-.0297055	-1.91	0.028**
Div2	-.000921	-0.06	0.426
Size	.0102897	3.29	0.001***
Turnover	-.0122491	-3.33	0.001***
Constant	.0368172	0.57	0.285

The estimated model for Sharpe ratio becomes:

$$r_{Si} = 0.037 - 0.030\text{Div1} - 0.001\text{Div2} + 0.010\text{Size} - 0.012\text{Turnover} \quad (4)$$

where r_{Si} = Sharpe ratio

For F-test, the overall model can be used because p-value = 0,0000. For t-test, two coefficients of control variables and one hypothesis variable are significant at $\alpha = 1\%$.

Using one of the risk-adjusted returns, the performance of minimum diversification was, in fact, worse than that of extensive diversification. On average, the monthly Sharpe ratio of minimum diversification was 0.03 lower than that of the extensive diversification for the years 2009-2011. These findings are significant at $\alpha = 10\%$.

This means, although the monthly nominal return of portfolios in the minimum diversification is higher than that of extensive diversification, the risk faced by such portfolios is much higher than the excess return given. This is in line with the findings of Dorn & Huberman (2010), Goetzmann & Kumar (2008), and Latane & Young (1969) that the performance of extensive diversification, if viewed in terms of mean-variance, is still better.

Behind the better nominal return, retail stock investors who do not diversify should be ready to face the high risk of return fluctuation that makes their Sharpe ratio lower.

For the moderate diversification, there is no enough evidence from the research sample that this level of diversification has lower risk-adjusted return than that of extensive diversification. This confirms the view of Evans & Archer (1968), Fisher & Lorie (1970), Jacob (1974), and Elton & Gruber (1977) that most of the diversification benefits i.e. risk reduction have

been obtained when the number of stocks has reached eight to ten stocks.

For the control variables portfolio size and turnover, both are significant at $\alpha = 1\%$. Sharpe ratio is expected to rise as the size of portfolio goes up. Investor with bigger fund has more flexibility and better access to earn higher return per unit risk than the investor with limited money. These findings are in harmony with the study of Goetzmann and Kumar (2008) and contrary to the research results of Barber and Odean (2000) with higher risk-adjusted return for smaller portfolios.

The relationship of portfolio turnover and return is negative. Retail stock investors who trade more frequently are believed to have lower Sharpe ratio. Retail stock investors who are very active in trading think that they have better information and skill than other investors. In fact, they have neither the superior skill that is needed nor the valuable insider information. This negative relationship is consistent with the results of Barber & Odean (2000) and Goetzmann & Kumar (2008).

5. CONCLUSION

Most retail investors in the Indonesia Stock Exchange do not diversify. They have on average only 4-5 stocks with the median 2 stocks in their portfolios. Based on the performance, retail investors choosing minimum and moderate diversification significantly obtained higher nominal return than those of the extensive diversification. Anyway, using the Sharpe

ratio, the additional return is not enough to compensate the much higher risk faced by the underdiversification.

The additional monthly return of minimum and moderate diversification over that of extensive diversification in the sample is on average 0.68% and 0.65% respectively. For the Sharpe ratio, the return that is obtained by portfolio with minimum diversification is 0.03 lower than that of extensive diversification. The additional risk faced by investors applying minimum diversification is much bigger than the extra return they can get so their Sharpe ratio becomes smaller.

The findings that the undiversified portfolios could give higher nominal return and lower Sharpe ratio than the diversified portfolio in this study is consistent with Mitton and Vorkink (2007).

REFERENCES

- [1] Barber, Brad dan Terrance Odean. Trading Is Hazardous to Your Wealth: The Common Stock Investment Performance of Individual Investors. *Journal of Finance*, 55, 773-806. 2000.
- [2] Blume, Marshall dan Irwin Friend. The Asset Structure of Individual Portfolios and Some Implications for Utility Functions. *Journal of Finance*, 30, 585-603. 1975.
- [3] Dorn, Daniel dan Gur Huberman. Preferred Risk Habitat of Individual Investors. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 97, 155-173. 2010.
- [4] Elton, E. dan M. Gruber. Risk Reduction and Portfolio Size: An Analytical Solution. *Journal of Business*, 50, 415-426. 1977.
- [5] Evans J.L. dan S.H. Archer. Diversification and the Reduction of Dispersion: An Empirical Analysis. *Journal of Finance*, 23, 761-767. 1968.
- [6] Fisher, K.L. dan Lorie J.H. Some Studies on Variability of Returns on Investments on Common Stocks. *Journal of Business*, 43, 99-134. 1970.
- [7] Goetzmann, William dan Alok Kumar. Equity Portfolio Diversification. *Review of Finance*, 12, 433-463. 2008.
- [8] Hoffmann, A. dan H. Shefrin. *Online Investors: What They Want, What They Do, and How Their Portfolio Perform*. Working Paper, Maastricht University. 2011.
- [9] Jacob, N.L. A Limited Diversification Portfolio Selection Model for the Small Investor. *Journal of Finance*, 29, 837-857. 1974.
- [10] Kelly, Morgan. All Their Eggs in One Basket: Portfolio Diversification of US Households. *Journal of Economics Behavior and Organization*, 27, 87-96. 1995.
- [11] King, M. dan J. L. Leape. Wealth and Portfolio Composition: Theory and Evidence. *Journal of Public Economics*, 69, 155-193. 1998.
- [12] Kumar, Alok. Who Gambles in the Stock Market. *Journal of Finance*, 64, 1889-1933. 2009.
- [13] Latané, Harvey A. dan William E. Young. Test of Portfolio Building Rules. *Journal of Finance*, XXIV, 595-612. 1969.
- [14] Lease, R. C. W. Lewellen, dan G. Schlarbaum. Market Segmentation: Evidence on the Individual Investor. *Financial Analysts Journal*, 32, 53-60. 1976.
- [15] Markowitz, Harry. Portfolio Selection. *Journal of Finance*, 7, 77-91. 1952.
- [16] Mitton, Todd dan Keith Vorkink. Equilibrium Underdiversification and the Preference for Skewness. *Review of Financial Studies*, 20 (4), 1255-1288. 2007.
- [17] Oneil, William J. *How to Make Money in Stocks: A Winning System in Good or Bad Times*, 3rd ed. McGraw-Hill. 2002.
- [18] Polkovnichenko, V. Household Portfolio Diversification: A Case for Rank Dependent Preferences. *Review of Financial Studies*, 18, 1467-1501. 2005.
- [19] Starr-McCluer, Martha. *Tax Losses and the Stock Portfolios of Individual Investors*. Working Paper, Federal Reserve Board of Governors, Washington, D. C. 1995.



Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

3rd IMCoSS
THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY
CONFERENCE ON SOCIAL SCIENCES

www.imcoss.ubl.ac.id

