



in  
cooperation  
with

INDONESIA



Universiteit Utrecht

NETHERLANDS



الجامعة الإسلامية العالمية ماليزيا  
INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA  
يؤنسوسقو اناكرا اناكرا اناكرا اناكرا اناكرا

MALAYSIA



THAILAND



PAKISTAN

# 3<sup>rd</sup> ImCoSS

THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY  
CONFERENCE ON SOCIAL SCIENCES

5 - 7 JUNE 2015

BANDAR LAMPUNG UNIVERSITY  
INDONESIA

## PROCEEDINGS

Hosted by :

- Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
- Faculty of Economics and Business
- Faculty of Law
- Faculty of Social and Political Sciences



universitas  
bandar lampung  
SOLUTION FOR PRESENT AND FUTURE



# 3<sup>rd</sup> IMCoSS 2015

**THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY  
CONFERENCE ON SOCIAL SCIENCES**

5, 6 June 2015  
Bandar Lampung University (UBL)  
Lampung, Indonesia

## PROCEEDINGS

Organized by:



**Bandar Lampung University (UBL)**  
Jl. Zainal Abidin Pagar Alam No.89 Labuhan Ratu, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia  
Phone: +62 721 36 666 25, Fax: +62 721 701 467  
website : [www.ubl.ac.id](http://www.ubl.ac.id)

## ***PREFACE***

The Activities of the International Conference are in line and very appropriate with the vision and mission of Bandar Lampung University (UBL) to promote training and education as well as research in these areas.

On behalf of the **The Third International Multidisciplinary Conference on Social Sciences (The 3<sup>rd</sup> IMCoSS) 2015** organizing committee, we are very pleased with the very good response especially from the keynote speaker and from the participants. It is noteworthy to point out that about 112 technical papers were received for this conference.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the International Advisory Board members, sponsor and also to all keynote speakers and all participants. I am also gratefull to all organizing committee and all of the reviewers who contribute to the high standard of the conference. Also I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the Rector of Bandar Lampung University (UBL) who give us endless support to these activities, so that the conference can be administrated on time

Bandar Lampung, 6 June 2015

**Mustofa Usman, Ph.D**  
Chairman of 3<sup>rd</sup> IMCoSS 2015

# PROCEEDINGS

## 3<sup>rd</sup> IMCoSS 2015

The Third International Multidisciplinary Conference  
on Social Sciences  
5, 6 June 2015

### *INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY BOARD*

M. Yusuf S. Barusman, Indonesia

Andala R.P. Barusman, Indonesia

Mustofa Usman, Indonesia

Khaliq Ahmad, Malaysia

Muhammad Azam, Pakistan

John Walsh, Thailand

Agus Wahyudi, Indonesia

Harpain, Indonesia

Susanto, Indonesia

Hayyan Ul Haq, Netherlands

Ida Madhieha A Ghani Azmi, Malaysia

Andrik Purwasito

Antonius PS. Wibowo, Indonesia

Torla Bin Hj.Hassan, Malaysia

Lintje Anna Marpaung Indonesia

Bambang Hartono, Indonesia

Erlina B, Indonesia

Zulfi Diane Zaini, Indonesia

Agus Wahyudi, Indonesia

Harpain, Indonesia

Khomsahrial Romli, Indonesia

Ida Farida, Indonesia

I Gusti Ayu Ketut Rahmi, Indonesia

Zainab Ompu Jainah, Indonesia

Iskandar AA, Indonesia

Habiburahman, Indonesia

M. Achmad Subing, Indonesia

Angrita Denziana, Indonesia

# PROCEEDINGS

## 3<sup>rd</sup> IMCoSS 2015

The Third International Multidisciplinary Conference  
on Social Sciences  
5, 6 June 2015

### GENERAL COMMITTEE

#### *Executive Advisory*

Dr. Ir. M Yusuf S. Barusman, MBA  
Dr. Andala Rama Putra, SE, M.A, Ec.  
Dr. Lintje Anna Marpaun, SH., MH.  
Drs. Thontowie, MS

#### *Chairman*

Dr. Hery Riyanto, MT

#### *Secretary*

Bery Salatar, S.Pd.

### STREERING COMMITTEE

#### *Chairman*

Mustofa Usman, Ph.D

#### *Secretary*

Susanto, SS, M.Hum, MA, Ph.D

#### *Technical Committee of Law Division*

Dr. I Gusti Ayu Ketut Rachmi Handayani, SH., MH  
Dr. Erina Pane, SH., MH  
Dr. Bambang Hartono, SH., M.Hum.  
Dr. Zulfi Diane Zaini, SH.,MH  
Dr. Zainab Ompu Jainah, SH., MH  
Dr. Tami Rusli, SH.,M.Hum  
Dr. Erlina B, SH.,M.Hum

*Technical Committee of Economics, Business,  
and Management Division*

Prof. Dr. Sudarsono  
Dr. Andala Rama Putra, M.A, Ec.  
Dr.Lindrianasari, S.E., M.Si.,Akt. CA  
Dr. Angrita Denziana, SE.,MM., Ak, CA  
Dr. Iskandar Ali Alam, MM  
Tina Miniawati, SE., MBA.  
Dra. Rosmiati Tarmizi, MM, Ak.  
Afrizal Nilwan, SE,,M.Ec., Akt.

*Technical Committee of Social Sciences Division*

Dr. Yadi Lustiadi, M.Si.  
Dr. Supriyanto, M.Si.  
Dr. Ahmad Suharyo, M.Si.  
Dr. Wawan Hernawan, M.Pd.  
Dr. Dra. Ida Farida, M.Si.

*Technical Committee of language, Teaching and Education*

Susanto, SS, M.Hum, MA, Ph.D  
Deri Sis Nanda, SS., MA., Ph.D  
Hery Yufrizal, Ph.D  
Harpain, MA  
Helta Anggia, MA  
Yanuaris Yanu Dharmawan, M.Hum  
Dameria Magdalena S., M.Pd

# PROCEEDINGS

## 3<sup>rd</sup> IMCoSS 2015

The Third International Multidisciplinary Conference  
on Social Sciences  
5, 6 June 2015

### ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

#### *Chairman*

Drs. Harpain, MAT, MM

#### *Secretary*

Tissa Zadya, SE, MM

#### *Treasure*

Samsul Bahri, SE

#### *Administration*

#### *Proceedings and Certificate Distribution*

Dina Ika Wahyuningsih, S.Kom

Tri Nuryati, S.Kom

Ida Nahdaleni

Vida Cancer

Agung Saputra

Desi Anggraini

Indah Satria, SH

Nurdiawansyah, SE

#### *Receptionist and Registration*

Rifandy Ritonga, SH, MH

Dra. Agustuti Handayani

Haninun SE, MS. Ak

Hepiana Patmarina, SE., MM

Kartini Adam, SE

Cyntia Jonathan

#### *Sponsorship & Public Relation*

Ir. Indriati A. Gultom, MM

Yulia Hesti, SH.,MH

Indah Satria, SH

*Special Event*

Deri Sis Nanda, SS., MA., Ph.D  
Helta Anggia, S.Pd., MA  
Dameria Magdalena S, M.Hum  
Khairudin, SE., M.S.Ak  
Aminah, SE. M.S.Ak  
Tia Erisna, SE. M.Si., Ak  
Olivia Tjoener, SE. MM.  
Drs. Suwandi, MM  
Dra. Azima D., MM  
Risti Dwi Ramasari, SH.,MH  
Siti Rahmawati, SE  
Arnes Yuli V., S.Kom., .M.Kom  
Recca Ayu Hapsari, SH., MH  
Benny Karya, SH., MH  
Mellisa Safitri, SH., MH

*Transportation & Accommodation*

Irawati, SE  
Zainal Abidin, SE  
Desi Puspitasari, SH

*Consumption*

Dra. Yulfriwini, MT  
Susilowati, ST., MT

*Documentation*

Noning Verawati, S.Sos, MA  
UBL Production



## Table Of Content

Preface.....	ii
International Advisory Board .....	iii
Steering Committee.....	iv
Organizing Committee .....	vi
Table of Content .....	viii
Keynote Speaker :	
1. Cultural Tourism and Trade in Indigenous People's Art and Craft: A Gap Analysis of International Legal Treatise and National Legislation – Ida Madieha bt. Abdul Ghani Azmi .....	I-1
2. Contrasting Islamic Leadership Styles (An Empirical Study Of Muslim Majority And Minority Countries) - Khaliq Ahmad .....	I-10
Paper Presenter :	
<b>ECONOMICS :</b>	
1. An Analysis of The Influence of Aggregate Expenditure Regional Gross Domestic Product Growth In The Lampung Province – H.M.A. Subing .....	II-1
2. Effect on The Quality of Passenger Satisfaction (Study in Radin Inten II Airport South Lampung) – Ardansyah and Stefanny Ellena Rushlan .....	II-7
3. Factors That Affect Longevity Of Business Relationships – Margaretha Pink Berlianto and Innocentius Bernarto.....	II-12
4. Millennials Green Culture: The Opportunity And Challenge (A Case Study Of Higher Education Student) - Ika Suhartanti Darmo . .....	II-21
5. Preferences Prospective Students In Choosing The Study Program (University X In Bandar Lampung) - Indriati Agustina Gultom and Wahyu Pamungkas .....	II-29
6. The Effect Of Growth, Profitability And Liquidity To Bond Rating Of The Banking Firms Listed On The Indonesian Stock Exchange (Period 2009- 2013) - Syamsu Rizal and Winda Sutanti .....	II-34
7. The Influences Of Investment On Regional Gross Domestic Product (RGDP) In Lampung - Habiburrahman .....	II-42
8. The Influences Of Bank Product Socialization And Electronic Payment System Quality On Intention To Use E-Money In Indonesia - Cynthia Jonathan, Rina Erlanda and Zainal Arifin Hidayat .....	II-46
9. The Influence Of Inflation, GDP Growth, Size, Leverage, And Profitability Towards Stock Price On Property And Real Estate Companies Listed In	

Indonesia Stock Exchange Period 2005-2013 - Herry Gunawan Soedarsa and Prita Rizky Arika .....	II-50
10. The Influence Of Investment Opportunity Set (IOS) And Profitability Towards Stock Return On Property And Real Estate Firms In Indonesia Stock Exchange - Grace Ruth Benedicta, Herlina Lusmeida .....	II-57
11. The Influence Of Prosperity And Finacial Performance With Respect To Equalization Funds Of The Government District/City In All Southern Sumatra Regions - Rosmiati Tarmizi, Khairudin and Felisya Fransisca .....	II-66
26. The Influence of The Financial Performance and Macroeconomic Factors To Stock Return - Angrita Denziana, Haninun, and Hepiana Patmarina.....	II-73
27. The Economical Analysis Of Mechanization In Land Preparation For Plantation - M.C. Tri Atmodjo .....	II-81
28. The Performance of Undiversified Portfolio In Indonesia Stock Exchange - Budi Frensidy .....	II-84
29. An Analysis of Fast Improvement Program of Human Resources for Employee Satisfaction of PT. PLN ( Persero ), Bandar Lampung Power Sector - Sapmaya Wulan and Kiki Keshia .....	II-89
30. Engineering Model of Economic Institution Insugarcane Agribusiness Partnership (Case Study on Sugar Cane Agribusiness Partnership between Farmers Cooperative and Sugar Factory in Way Kanan Regency of Lampung Province-Indonesia) – Syahril Daud and Adrina Yustitia .....	II-97

**LAW :**

1. Analysis Of Convict's Rights In Judicial Review Of Narcotics Criminal Case - Yulianto .....	III-1
2. Comparison Of Authority Of The Conditional Court In India And Thailand In Judicial Review – Indah Satria .....	III-4
3. Criminal Law Policy As An Effort Of Overcoming Crime Towards Protected Animals - Benny Karya Limantara and Bambang Hartono .....	III-9
4. Decentralization Evaluation in Indonesia : The Dynamics of Relation Central Government and Local Government - Dewi Nurhalimah .....	III-15
5. Denial Of Labor Rights By Liberal Legal Regime In The Outsourcing System - Cornelius C.G, Desi Rohayati and Ricco Andreas .....	III-20
6. Design Of The Special / Special For Inclusion In The System Of The Republic Of Indonesia By Constitution Of The Republic Of Indonesia 1945 - Baharudin.....	III-22
7. Dilemma of State Sovereignty Protecting the Homeland Indonesia (Studies Agrarian Constitution) - FX. Sumarja .....	III-27
8. From State Sovereignty To People Sovereignty: The Development of State Control Doctrine in Indonesia Constitutional Court Decision - Utia Meylina .....	III-32

9. Law Function As Instrument To Build a Stability of Moral Economy in  
Globalization Era - Hieronymus Soerjatisnanta and M Farid Al-Rianto ..... III-36
10. The Analysis Of Criminal Liability For Crimes Perpetrators Of The Crime  
Of Human Trafficking – Dharma Saputra ..... III-45
11. The Death Penalty: Pancasila, With Efforts To Eradicated Drugs -  
Anggun Ariena R. and Ade Oktariatas Ky ..... III-48
12. The Existence of Government Regulation in Liew of Law or Peraturan  
Pemerintah Pengganti Undang-Undang (Perppu) in Legal Systems of the  
Republic of Indonesia - Rifandy Ritonga ..... III-53
13. The Fulfilment Of The Right To Health Services Through Control Of  
Ombudsman Functions In The Region - Agus Triono ..... III-57
14. The Tort Of Multimodal TransportatioAgreement -  
Dio Adewastia Fajaranu ..... III-64
15. Uprising Of Village Democracy: Challenge And Opportunities For Village -  
James Reinaldo Rumpia ..... III-70
16. Comparative Law of Cartels between Indonesia and Japan (Review of Act  
No. 5 of 1999 concerning Prohibition of Monopolistic Practices and Unfair  
Business Competition and the Act Concerning Prohibition of Private  
Monopoly and Maintenance of Fair Trade" (Act No. 54 of 14 April 1947) )  
- Recca Ayu Hapsari ..... III-77
17. The Role Of Adat Community As The Part Of Normative Systems In Paser  
- Melisa Safitri ..... III-83

#### **SOCIAL SCIENCE :**

1. An Using E-CRM To Improve Market Value Companies (Research Study at  
EF Bandar Lampung) - Ruri Koesliandana, Arnes Y. Vandika, and Dina Ika  
Wahyuningsih ..... IV-1
2. Analysis Of The Quality Of Public Health Field – Siti Masitoh ..... IV-4
3. Charges Of Indonesia Labor / Workers Against Proper Living Needs That  
Can Meet The Minimum Wage – Agustuti Handayani .....IV-13
4. Community Response On Changes Regional Head Election System (Study  
On Environmental Public Housing Way Kandis Bandar Lampung) -  
Wawan Hernawan and Mutia Ravenska.....IV-16
5. Compensation Policy Implementation Of Fuel Oil, In The District Konawe,  
Southeast Sulawesi Province (Study on Implementation of Direct Cash  
Assistance) – Malik and Noning Verawati .....IV-21
6. Crowd Funding, Social Entrepreneurship and Sustainable Development -  
Hery Wibowo.....IV-29
7. Euphoria and Social Media Related to Organizational Effectiveness, Based  
on Gangnam Style Case - Astadi Pangarso and Cut Irna Setiawati .....IV-32

8. Financial Management In Public And Private Junior High Schools -  
Suwandi and Soewito .....IV-40
9. Gender Mainstreaming In Glasses of Public Administration at Banten  
Province - Ipah Ema Jumiati .....IV-47
10. Impact From Social Media To Social Life -  
Eka Imama N, Ade Kurniawan, Yoga Dwi Goesty D.S, and Arnes Y. Vandika .....IV-56
11. Implementation of Public Private Partnership in The Management Market  
RAU (Rau Trade Center) In Serang City - Rahmawati .....IV-59
12. The Values Of Democracy In The Implementation Local Political Agenda  
In Kendari - Jamal Bake .....IV-67
13. Evaluation Of Health Services Regional Public Hospital Besemah in Pagar  
Alam City of South Sumatra -  
Yuslainiwati, Budiman Rusli, Josy Adiwisastra, and Sinta Ningrum .....IV-77
14. The Impact Of It Social Network Path In The Students Of Community -  
Arnes Yuli Vandika .....IV-82
15. The Development of Women's Participation in Political Life -  
Azima Dimiyati .....IV-86

#### **EDUCATION :**

1. An Analysis of Students' Gramatical Error in Using Passive Voice at Grade  
Ten of SMA Persada Bandar Lampung 2014 - Ildhias Pratiwi Putri..... V-1
2. An Error Analysis of Speaking Present Tense on English Conversation on  
Program of PRO 2 Radio Bandar Lampung – Maryana Pandawa ..... V-5
3. Developing Students' Writing Skill by Diary Writing Habit -  
Fatima A. Putri, Bery Salatar, and Susanto..... V-8
4. Discourse Analysis Of Gettysburg Address -Yanuaris Yanu Darmawan ..... V-11
5. Error Analysis of SMA Pangudi Luhur Bandar Lampung Students'  
Translation in Using Meaning-Based Translation. – Kefas Ajie Bhekti ..... V-18
6. Improving Students Affective Domain Through Asian Parliamentary  
Debate Technique – Purwanto ..... V-24
7. Online Authentic Materials For Learning English - AgniaMuti, Ezra  
Setiawan, and Ida Oktaviani ..... V-36
8. Politeness Strategies As Persuasive Tool In Magazine Advertisements  
Circulated In Lombok Tourism Spots – Lalu Abdul Khalik and Diah  
Supatmiwat ..... V-39
9. Simple Past Tense Of The First Grade Students Of SMP Negeri 1 Seputih  
Banyak In Academic Year Of 2014/2015 - Qory Fahrnis Firdaus ..... V-47
10. Supporting Learners' Autonomy Through Distance Language Learning -  
Dameria Magdalena S ..... V-51

11. Teaching Poetry in ELT Classrooms: Some Challenges and Solutions - Bastian Sugandi and Husnaini .....	V-54
12. Teaching Vocabulary By Using Hypnoteaching To Second Semester Students Of Bandar Lampung University - Fransiska Anggun Arumsari .....	V-58
13. The Application Of Brainstorming To Improve Student's Writing Skill - Ita Brasilia Nurhasanah, Ria Martin, and Rizky Amalia .....	V-65
14. The Application Of Using Letter Land Technique Towards Students Vocabulary Mastery - Budianto, Elis Munawaroh, Fitri Anggraini, and Yuni Arifah .....	V-68
15. The Application of Quiz Team Technique to Improve Students' Understanding on Simple Present Tense at Grade Seven at SMPN 26 Bandar Lampung – Rosdawati .....	V-71
16. The Art Of Seduction Of Giacomo Casanova An Analysis Of "The Story Of My Life" - Helta Anggia .....	V-75
17. The Effect Of The Application Of The News Presentation Towards Students' Speaking Ability Of Grade Eleven At SMK Negeri 1 Seputih Agung - Risdiana Yusuf .....	V-78
18. The Effect Of The Teacher's Feedback Approach Towards Students' Descrptive Writing Skill At Grade Tenth Of SMK Bhakti Utama Bandar Lampung - Nila Kurnijanti .....	V-83
19. The Improvement Of Students' Vocabulary Achievement By Using Direct Method Of SMP Wiyatama Bandar Lampung - Putri Nurhayani .....	V-85
20. The Influence Of Lampungnese Ethnicity Accent On Dialect A To Lampungnese Students' Pronunciation Ability At English Education Study Program - Anggi Okta Dinata .....	V-88
21. The Influence of Using Scrambled Pictures to Improve Students' Ability in Writing Narrative Text of Eleventh Grade Students of SMK Bhakti Utama Bandar Lampung - Novita Uswatun Khasanah .....	V-91
22. The Use of Letterland Method in Teaching Reading at Early Year Level to Pre-School Students in an Informal Education in Bandar Lampung - Alfiana Rochmah .....	V-94
23. TheInfluence of Using Short Video Towards the Students' Speaking Skill at Grade VII of SMPN 22 Bandar Lampung - Dita Oktapiana .....	V-101

# CULTURAL TOURISM AND TRADE IN INDIGENOUS PEOPLE'S ART AND CRAFT: A GAP ANALYSIS OF INTERNATIONAL LEGAL TREATISE AND NATIONAL LEGISLATION

Ida Madieha bt. Abdul Ghani Azmi  
Civil Law Department International Islamic University Malaysia  
Corresponding author e-mail: imadieha@iium.edu.my

**ABSTRACT** - *The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of the Indigenous People, adopted on 13 September 2007, provides a clear support for the recognition of indigenous people's right over their cultural heritage, traditional cultural expression and traditional knowledge (Article 31). The Declaration which was supported by both Malaysia and Indonesia provides the framework for the evolution of laws, regulations and rules to maintain, develop the past and future manifestation of their cultural practices (Article 11). States are also expected to provide effective redress for the misappropriation of their cultural practices including restitution and repatriation of their ceremonial objects and human remains (Article 12).*

*Indigenous culture is fast growing to be a major drawing appeal to global tourists. This paper commences with a brief expose on how the cultural practices of the indigenous people became a key attractions for tourist in Malaysia. The paper seeks to ask how cultural tourisms can pose harm to the cultural integrity of the indigenous people. The widespread sale of their arts and crafts and the lack of control over reproduction of cheap copies for tourists question the measures taken by the state to control the misappropriation of their cultural practices.*

*TCE has also been the subject of coverage in many other international treaties and domestic legislation. This paper examines the gaps between the various legal treatises on TCE and national legislation with the aim of fortifying the relevant rules for countries like Malaysia and Indonesia to emulate. The paper concludes with some suggestions for legislative reform for the effective control of trade in indigenous people's art and crafts.*

**keywords:** cultural heritage tourism; indigenous people's art and craft; gap analysis

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Malaysia and Indonesia shares a common interest in their indigenous peoples. Whilst in Peninsular Malaysia, the indigenous peoples or *orang asli* constitute the minority in the total population, in Sabah and Sarawak the natives constitute the majority. The population of the indigenous peoples in Indonesia is larger. Out of its 220 million populations, the indigenous peoples or *masyarakat adat* comes up to 50 to 70 million<sup>1</sup> according to the estimates by the national alliance AMAN. The indigenous peoples are quite diverse in their ethnicity, languages, cultural practices and customary rights making them rich resources for cultural tourism. Peninsular Malaysia for example hosts more than 70 groups of indigenous peoples. Whilst, the official statistics by the Ministry of Social Welfare in Indonesia recognizes 365 groups as *masyarakat adat terpencil*, making them more diverse than the Malaysian counterpart.

Both countries also ascribe special position to the indigenous peoples in their constitutional law. The Malaysian Federal Constitution sets the special position of the natives in Sabah and Sarawak under Article 161A<sup>2</sup>. The Indonesian Constitutional Law

goes further than that to not only recognize their customary rights<sup>3</sup> but also their cultural identities<sup>4</sup>. Against the dynamics and diversity of the indigenous peoples globally, the primary focus of this paper is their traditional cultural expression. Traditional cultural expression (TCE) includes any tangible or intangible forms of creativity including phonetic or verbal expressions, musical or sound expressions, expressions by actions and tangible expressions (WIPO)<sup>5</sup>. To constitute TCEs, the expressions must be unique to the indigenous people and form part of the cultural or social identity and heritage of a traditional

<sup>3</sup> The third amendment of the Indonesian Constitution of 2001 recognizes indigenous peoples' rights in Article 18 Para 2 (concerning regional government) "*The State recognizes and respects indigenous communities along with their customary rights as long as they are still exist, in accordance to the society/cultural development and civilization within the Unitary State of Indonesia, and they are recognized legally by law*". p. 378

<http://www.iwgia.org/images/stories/sections/regions/asia/documents/short-country-profiles/indonesia.pdf>

<sup>4</sup> Article 28I Para 3 (regarding Human Rights) of the Indonesian Constitution of 2001; at p. 378

<sup>5</sup> WIPO: The Protection of Traditional Cultural Expressions: Draft Articles, Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore, WIPO/GTRKF/IC/18/4Rev, available online at [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int)

<sup>1</sup>

<http://www.iwgia.org/images/stories/sections/regions/asia/documents/short-country-profiles/indonesia.pdf>

<sup>2</sup> See also Colin Nicholas, *Orang Asli: Rights, Problems, Solutions*, (2010), Suruhanjaya Hak Asasi Manusia (SUHAKAM)

or indigenous community and are maintained or used by them (WIPO)<sup>6</sup>. Among the objects falling within this category would be objects originating from and created by indigenous peoples, such as masks, rattles, blankets, weavings, weapons, pots, bags, jewelry, clothing, totem poles, ceremonial houses, canoes etc. As these cultural objects become the objects of tourist interests, questions arise as to whether there is effective legal framework to ensure the trade in these items would not compromise the cultural interests of their traditional knowledge holders. The paper examines this issue by focusing solely on laws regulating the sale of the arts and crafts of the indigenous peoples. For that purpose, not only the existing treatise relevant to TCEs, but also model laws and relevant national legislation is examined. The core focus of the paper is to identify gaps in the legislative framework in order to find proper solution to address the gaps. It is the belief of the author that there must be a concerted effort to sustain the commercial as well as moral value of the indigenous culture as a source of cultural tourism.

## 2. INDIGENOUS CULTURAL TOURISM.

Both Malaysia and Indonesia attracts a large number of tourists annually. In 2013, Malaysia witnessed the arrivals of 25.72 million tourists into her soil which brings in an income of RM65.44 billion. Initiatives introduced to attract tourism including cultural tourism through the designation of old buildings for cultural heritage for purposes of conservation<sup>7</sup>.

In Malaysia, several policy initiatives have been framed to boost tourism beginning the Eighth Malaysia Plan. In the Ninth Malaysian Plan (2006-2010), the strategies earmarked are the development of craft industry and promote craft products for the world market and the conservation and preservation of heritage products<sup>8</sup>. In the Plan, the role of culture, arts and heritage related industries as sources of economics growths have been recognized. The Malaysian Government has also allocated significant fund to promote activities related to culture, arts and heritage.

The Ministry of Tourism has also organized if not supported annual festivals that draw heavily on indigenous cultural expression for tourism purposes. Among the festivals are Rainforest Music Festivals, Borneo Tattoo Festivals, the National Craft Fair, the Cultural Villages, Borneo International Beads Conference. Cultural centres have also been set up to showcase the aboriginal culture such the *Mari Mari* Cultural Village in Kionsom, Mosopiad Cultural Village in Penampang, Sarawak Cultural Village as well as *Mah Meri* Cultural Village. In these cultural

centres, tourists can experience for themselves the traditional homes, life style and cultures of indigenous peoples as well as view the cultural artifacts are exhibited as well as a chance to sample the traditional delicacies

Indigenous cultural tourism is a subset of cultural tourism. In an Australian study, indigenous cultural tourism has been defined as the commodification of elements of Aboriginal culture for sale as products in tourism markets. In the context of Australia, four product categories have been identified as culture based. They are the manufacture and sale of aboriginal art and material culture, cultural tours, aboriginal small scale enterprises and cultural centres.<sup>9</sup> Most countries supports if not promotes indigenous cultural tourism as it brings significant benefit to the country including economic opportunities for indigenous groups, promotion of self determination, cross cultural exchange, preservation of traditional cultures and natural resource management.<sup>10</sup>

Realising the importance of indigenous cultural tourism, this paper turns into examining the legal landscape that regulates such activities. The paper commences with Malaysia first before looking at other national legislation, international treatise and model laws.

### 2.1 The legal framework of Indigenous cultural tourism in Malaysia

Three sets of laws are seen to have discernible impact on indigenous cultural tourism in Malaysia. They are laws pertaining to tourism, cultural heritage and aboriginal people. The two main legislations for tourism in Malaysia are the Tourism Industry Act 1992 and the Tourism Development Corporation of Malaysia Act 1972. The first Act provides the backbone of the tourism industry whilst the second is the oversight body in charge of the promotion of cultural activities and initiatives in Malaysia. Both sets of laws do not address indigenous cultural tourism in any manner. The Aboriginal Peoples Act 1954 is the overarching Act that provides for the protection, well being and advancement of the aboriginal peoples of Peninsular Malaysia. The Act mostly talks about aboriginal people's settlement, reserve lands, right to take forest produce, and matters concerning their livelihood. The Act has little to do neither with cultural rights nor with indigenous people's arts and crafts. This oversight is understandable as the main concern at that time is the welfare of the indigenous people. Later policy document has attested to the importance of cultural heritage of the indigenous people. The Strategic Plan of the *Jabatan Kemajuan Orang Asli (2011-2015)*, the governmental department in charge of the welfare of the indigenous people,

<sup>6</sup> ibid

<sup>7</sup> Badaruddin Mohamed, Cultural Tourism Promotion and Policy in Malaysia, available online at [www.hbp.usm.my/tourism/Papers/paper\\_cultural](http://www.hbp.usm.my/tourism/Papers/paper_cultural).

<sup>8</sup> Economic Planning Unit, Ninth Malaysia Plan (2006-2010) chapter 23, available online at [www.epu.gov.my/.../ninth-malaysia-plan-2006](http://www.epu.gov.my/.../ninth-malaysia-plan-2006)

<sup>9</sup> Tim O' Rourke & Paul Memmott, Sustaining Indigenous Cultural Tourism: Aboriginal Pathways, Cultural Centres and Dwellings in the Queensland Wet Tropics, CRC for Sustainable Tourism Pty Ltd (2005) at p. 5 available at [http://www.crctourism.com.au/wms/upload/resources/books/hop/ORourke\\_IndigenousCulture.pdf](http://www.crctourism.com.au/wms/upload/resources/books/hop/ORourke_IndigenousCulture.pdf)

<sup>10</sup> Ibid at p. 8

highlights the lack of focus on TCE and Aboriginal Heritage and recommended (inter *alia*) Aboriginal Peoples Act to be amended and strengthen the rights of the aboriginal people over their TCEs.

Another set of laws that can play a major role in the promotion of cultural tourism in Malaysia is the National Heritage Act 2005. The Act was formulated to provide for the conservation and preservation of National Heritage. To be protectable, the cultural product must first be designated as national heritage. The ambit of the Act is rather wide as it covers natural heritage, tangible and intangible cultural heritage, underwater cultural heritage, treasure trove and for related matters. Within the scope of this Act, some indigenous culture that has been designated as national cultural heritage i.e. *Mayin Jooh* (the *Mah Meri* cultural dance), the art of land clearance (*Iban Sarawak*), the *Petudui* Culture (the marriage culture of *Melanau Sarawak*) and *Sogit* (the culture of paying compensation among the *Kadazan-Dusun*), *Ngajat* (cultural dance of Iban) and *Sumazau* (cultural dance of the *Kadazan-Dusun*), *Pakaian Adat Kadazan Dusun*, *Datun Julud* (Cultural Dance of the *Kenyah* Tribe) and *Adat Mandi Anak Iban* (Bath Rituals for Ibanese Babies). By designating these indigenous culture as national cultural heritage, national countries will take the preservation of those cultural practices as national agenda and will priorities allocations in that endeavour. By viewing cultural practices as heritage rather than property, it signifies greater value to them,

connoting collective and public character, and connotes legacy irrespective of ownership (Vadi 2011).<sup>11</sup>

A set of guidelines which were passed pursuant to Act i.e. *Garis Panduan Pemuliharaan Bangunan Warisan, Jabatan Warisan Negara* 2012, further provides guidelines on definition and concept of preservation of heritage, guidance of documentation and guidelines on preservation of heritage building. Despite that strength, the Act and the Guidelines do not address the illicit sale of fake of indigenous arts and crafts.

From the brief analysis, there is practically little regulation on the sale of indigenous arts and crafts in Malaysia. Such discernible gap provides ample avenues for opportunists to thrive on. With the lack of copyright protection on cultural expression in Malaysia, there is ample room for others to misappropriate the cultural expression of the indigenous people in Malaysia.

Importance of having a set of laws that targets the sale of indigenous arts and crafts cannot be undermined. Woltz (2006)<sup>12</sup> espouses that cultural heritage involves forms and notions not contemplated by traditional intellectual property regimes. Furthermore, intellectual property rights have practical application limitations on cultural heritage. He alludes to the importance of legislation to artisan production whilst punishing the production of counterfeit Indian art.

A summary of the relevant Malaysian legislation is represented in Table 1 below.

---

<sup>11</sup> Valentina S. Vadi, When Cultures Collide: Foreign Direct Investment, Natural Resources, and Indigenous Heritage in International Investment Law, 24 Colum. Hum. Rts. L. Rev. 797 2010-2011, at p. 806

<sup>12</sup> Jennie D. Woltz, The Economics of Cultural Misrepresentation: How Should the Indian Arts and Crafts Act of 1990 be Marketed, Fordham Intell. Prop. Media & Ent. L.J. Vol 17:443



Table 1: Relevant Law on indigenous cultural tourism

Statute	Strength	Constraints
Tourism Industry Act 1992 (Malaysia)	- provide for the licensing and regulation of tourism enterprises in Malaysia	-silent on TCE -silent on illicit sales of arts and crafts.
Tourist Development Corporation Of Malaysia Act 1972 (Malaysia)	- established the Tourist Development Corporation of Malaysia	-deals solely on tourism development but is silent on TCE
Aboriginal Peoples Act 1954 (Malaysia)	- provide for the protection, well-being and advancement of the aboriginal peoples of Peninsular Malaysia	-deals mostly with aboriginal people's settlement, reserve lands, right to take forest produce, and matters concerning their livelihood. -silent on TCE
Pelan Strategik Jabatan Kemajuan Orang Asli 2011-2015	-addresses the need to properly document TCE of aboriginal peoples in Malaysia -IP rights of aborigines in Malaysia are still ignored - recommends the Aboriginal Peoples Act to be revised	- may be challenging as mainly concern with development of aborigines in Malaysia. Whether has power over TCE
National Heritage Act 2005 (Malaysia)	- provide for the conservation and preservation of National Heritage -covers natural heritage, tangible and intangible cultural heritage, underwater cultural heritage, treasure trove and for related matters	-silent on protection against illicit sales of arts and crafts.
Garis Panduan Pemuliharaan Bangunan Warisan Jabatan Warisan Negara 2012	provides guidelines preservation of heritage	-silent on illicit sales of arts and crafts.

## 2.2 Gaps in the international treaties & Model laws on TCE

There is no single treaty that deals solely with traditional cultural expression. Equally missing is an acceptable definition of traditional cultural expressions (WIPO, 2008)<sup>13</sup>. The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of the Indigenous People contains a list of possible 'provision' or 'possibility' in relation to indigenous people. Being a Declaration, these 'possibility' consists of more of what ought to be the list of rights accruing to the indigenous peoples rather than hard law.

The existing model laws on TCEs are diverse in its objectives, beneficiaries and scope of protection. WIPO has conducted studies on the gap analysis of these various model laws.<sup>14</sup> Most fundamentally, these model laws seem to have different conceptions of TCE by offering different definitions to the term. The subject matter of protection and the obligations set therein, also varies from one model law to another. This paper examines each of these model laws focusing on the gaps in their provisions.

The Bangui Agreement is the foundation of the Organisation Africaine de la Propriete Intellectuelle (OAPI), an intellectual property organization

consisting of 17 French speaking member states. The Agreement which was entered into in 1977, considers expressions of folklore to be of national heritage and sets up a collection mechanism for the usage of EoF that has fallen into public domain. The royalties collected is for the purpose of furthering the promotion of EoF either for welfare or cultural purposes. Rights given under the Agreement are also extended to works derived from folklore. Under the Agreement, Expressions of folklore" is defined to mean the production of characteristic elements of the traditional artistic heritage developed and perpetuated by a community or by individuals recognized as meeting the expectations of such community, and includes folk tales, folk poetry, folk songs and instrumental music, folk dancing and entertainments as also the artistic expressions of rites and productions of folk art.

Tunis Model Law on Copyright on Developing Countries which was formulated through collaboration between WIPO and UNESCO in 1976 was a brave attempt in assimilating TCE within copyright. Known as expressions of folklore (EoF), the Model law contains provision for the collection of royalty for the usage of EoF by the competent authority or by the community concerned<sup>15</sup>. Considering that some folklore would have fallen into public domain, it carries provision on *domaine public payant*, where a fee can be charged for use of artistic material in the

<sup>13</sup> WIPO, Protection of Traditional Cultural Expressions: Draft Gap Analysis, October 11, 2008, WIPO/GRTKF/IC/13/4/(b) Rev, available online at [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int)

<sup>14</sup> See The Protection of Traditional Cultural Expressions: Draft Gap Analysis, Intergovernmental committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore, WIPO/GRTKF/IC/13/4(b)

<sup>15</sup> Section 6 of the Tunis Model Law on Copyright on Developing Countries

public domain<sup>16</sup>. EoF is considered as part and parcel of cultural heritage of the country, and is seen deserving of special protection from improper exploitation for its potential for economic expansion as well as cultural legacy.<sup>17</sup> The only drawback with copyright protection over EoF is the requirement of originality. EoF being cultural traditions that have passed down from one generation to another may no longer be considered as original expressions. Section 1 of the Tunis Model Law provides original literary and artistic work to be the domain of copyright protection. The only exception for FoE is in relation to fixation. Article 5 bis of the Model law provides that FoE is protectable even if they are not reduced in permanent form. Bearing in mind that most cultural practices are in oral form, such flexibility allows the application of copyright even if the rule in relation to fixation is not complied with.

Being drafted in 1976, naturally the provisions of the Tunis Model Law are outdated and need to be updated in terms of exceptions and limitations which are of special concerns to developing countries as well as for educational and research uses. The Model Provisions also establishes a “competent authority” responsible for the collection of fee for the usage of EoF. The “competent authority” is assumed to be the caretaker of the indigenous people’s interest. By doing so, the indigenous people’s are directly in charge of their own EoF. This runs the danger of sidelining the indigenous people in matters pertaining their own EoF.<sup>18</sup>

The WIPO-UNESCO Model Provisions for National Laws on the Protection of Expressions of Folklore Against Illicit Exploitation and Other Prejudicial Actions, 1982 was formulated with the objective of framing a more refined intellectual property system for the protection of expressions of folklore. Under the Model, expressions of folklore" is defined as to mean productions consisting of characteristic elements of the traditional artistic heritage developed and

maintained by a community of [name of the country] or by individuals reflecting the traditional artistic expectations of such a community, in particular:

- (i) verbal expressions, such as folk tales, folk poetry and riddles;
- (ii) musical expressions, such as folk songs and instrumental music
- (iii) expressions by action, such as folk dances, plays and artistic forms or rituals; whether or not reduced to a material form
- (iv) tangible expressions, such as:
  - (a) productions of folk art, in particular, drawings, paintings, carvings, sculptures, pottery, terracotta, mosaic, woodwork, metalware, jewellery, basket weaving, needlework, textiles, carpets, costumes;
  - (b) musical instruments; [(c) architectural forms].

As the Model Provision targets illicit exploitation and other prejudicial actions, the Model Provisions subject all utilizations of EoF to authorization by the competent authority.<sup>19</sup> The legal norms promoted under the Model Provision are sui generis, rather than copyright. Further, it treats indigenous cultural expression as community heritage rather than national heritage. In addition, the subject of protection is artistic cultural heritage and not traditional heritage in the broad sense of the word.

The Model Provision has been criticized for bearing significant gaps in its legal framework. Most fundamentally, the Model Provision does not attempt to identify the beneficiaries who can claim entitlement under the law. The reason being is that the Model Provision perceives such issue as irrelevant as each national competent authority would be competent in dealing with the issues. Further, the Model Provision does not stipulate the optimum term for folklore protection. As it is a sui generis protection, could it be possible that EoF is treated as having perpetual protection under the Model Provision? Finally, even though the Model Law seems to elevate the entitlement of the community over EoF, it did not far enough to suggest the usage of customary laws and protocol to resolve conflicts

---

<sup>16</sup> section 17 of the Tunis Model Law on Copyright on Developing Countries

<sup>17</sup> See section 39 of the Commentary to the Tunis Model Law on Copyright on Developing Countries.

<sup>18</sup> Ragavan Srividya, Protection of Traditional Knowledge, Minnesota Intellectual Property Review, Vol.2, Issue 2 (2001), pp [i]-60

---

<sup>19</sup> Section 3 of the Model Law

Table 2: Model Laws on TCEs

Agreement/Model Law	Strength	Constraints
<b>Bangui Agreement (Africa)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-establishes the African Intellectual Property Organization (OAPI)</li> <li>-defined 'expressions of folklore' to include traditional artistic heritage and considered as national heritage</li> <li>- obligation to pay a fee to the national collective right for the use of EoF that have fallen into the public domain</li> <li>- Royalties collected will be used for welfare and cultural purposes.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- there are no special formal procedures or sanctions in relation to expressions of folklore (EoF)</li> <li>-does not make reference to customary laws and protocols</li> </ul>
<b>Tunis Model Law on Copyright for Developing Countries 1976</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-introduced a folklore protection</li> <li>-leaves the administration of royalty collection for folkloristic expressions to "competent authority" at the national level or by the "community concerned"</li> <li>-address protection of folklore, and limitations and exceptions to rights, such as those in Section 7, entitled "Fair use," Section 3 on "Works not protected," or Section 10 on the limitation of the right of translation.</li> <li>-provides for a system of domain public payant in Section 17.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-need updates, legislation ages 39 years ago</li> <li>-the copyright limitations and exceptions that address the special concerns of developing countries need to be reexamined</li> <li>-should address copyright limitations and exceptions for education and research</li> </ul>
<b>WIPO-UNESCO Model Provisions for National Laws on the Protection of Expressions of Folklore Against Illicit Exploitation and Other Prejudicial Actions, 1982</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-provides protection for expressions of folklore against Illicit exploitation and other prejudicial actions.</li> <li>-protects verbal expressions, musical expressions, and expressions by actions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-provides loose criterion for holder of folklore rights i.e. competent authority or relevant community</li> <li>-silent on the term of folklore protection.</li> <li>-silent on the issue of customary law and protocols for the resolution of conflicts</li> </ul>
<b>Pacific Regional Framework for the Protection of Traditional Knowledge and Expressions of Culture (PRF)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- protect rights of traditional owners in their traditional knowledge and expressions of culture subject to prior and informed consent and benefit-sharing</li> <li>Focuses on cultural expressions, including names, stories, chants, riddles, songs in oral narratives, <u>art and craft</u>, instruments, pottery, jewellery, metalware, weaving, needlework, dances, textiles, ritual performances, cultural practices, designs and architectural forms.</li> <li>- establishes "traditional cultural rights" and "moral rights" in TK or expressions of folklore</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- does not affect or apply to rights that exist before the commencement of this Act</li> </ul>

### 2.3 Sui Generis Domestic Legislation on Indigenous Arts and Crafts

Production of original traditional arts and crafts would contribute to the economic as well as cultural sustenance of the indigenous people. Having a supportive landscape to support this process is therefore imperative. WIPO recommends for the adoption of some kind of domestic laws to stop trade in fake copies of traditional arts and crafts<sup>20</sup>. Unfortunately, not many national countries take that

step. In this paper, three countries are studied; Panama, Philippines and the US.

The approach in the US is multi-prong. First, an oversight body was set up to be the certification body for an authentic mark for Indian Arts and Crafts. Second, the Act defines who is entitled to claim rights under the Act. The Act provides guidance as to who and which tribe can claim to be 'Indian' worthy of special protection under the Act. By so doing, the Act attracts criticism that the definition amounts to arbitrary restriction on ancestral claims. Thirdly, the Act has covers three broad categories of cultural

<sup>20</sup> WIPO, Draft Policy Objectives and Core Principles: WIPO/GRTKF/IC/7/3 available online at [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int)

works, arts, crafts and handicrafts; both traditional and non traditional Indian style. The Act does not go further to suggest what would be considered as traditional or non traditional. By leaving it silent, the Act runs the risk of imposing vague standards. The Indian Arts and Crafts Act 2000 is supplemented with an earlier act, the Omnibus and Trade Competitive Act 1988. The OTCA requires that Indian-style imported products be indelibly marked with the country of origin. This provides the buyer clear evidence of where a product is made so as to facilitate informed decision making in reference to authenticity. Panama and Philippines choose to confer the status of TCE as the communal property of the indigenous peoples. Panama Law 2000 provides extensive legal protection of traditional crafts by treating it to be part and parcel of traditional knowledge of the indigenous

peoples. It protects authentic traditional arts and crafts through the creation of a National Register. The Act however suffers from lack of balance to the users by being heavily skewed towards the right holders. The Philippines have three separate legislations that deal with indigenous arts<sup>21</sup>. The Intellectual Property Code sets up a register for traditional knowledge and cultural expression. Philippines Republic Act 7356 The National Commission for Culture and the Arts establishes a commission in charge of protection and promotion of Philippines cultural heritage including arts and crafts. The third piece of legislations the Indigenous Peoples Rights Act of 1997 (IPRA) Philippines has the objective of recognizing, protecting, and promoting the rights of Indigenous Cultural Communities and Indigenous Peoples. The Act has within its domain the past, present and future manifestations of indigenous cultures. Two perceivable gaps in the Act is that it does not in any way concern with the genuineness of the cultural products; unlike a system of certification in the US. Secondly, as the Act is meant to be read together with the Intellectual Property Code, it is totally silent on IP and access and benefit sharing.

---

<sup>21</sup>Jocelyn L.B. Blanco, Harnessing Traditional Knowledge for Development and Trade: the (Bicol) Phillips Experience, paper presented at Expert Meeting on Systems and National Experiences for Protecting Traditional Knowledge. Innovations and Practices, Geneva, 30 October – 1 November 2000, available online at [http://r0.unctad.org/trade\\_env/docs/philippines.pdf](http://r0.unctad.org/trade_env/docs/philippines.pdf),

**Table 3: National Legislation on Sale of Arts and Crafts**

Statute	Strength	Constraints
Indian Arts and Crafts Act 2000 (USA)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-created the Indian Arts and Crafts Board to devise Indian art genuineness trademarks</li> <li>- and created criminal penalties for misusing these trademarks</li> <li>- prohibits the offering or displaying for sale or selling of any good, in a manner that falsely suggests it is Indian produced, an Indian product, or the product of a particular Indian or Indian tribe or Indian arts and crafts organization, resident within the United States (Section 104(a))</li> <li>-defines the term “Indian product” to mean “any art or craft product made by an Indian.” (Section 309.2(d))</li> <li>(1) Indian products include art works, crafts and handicrafts. (Section 309.2(d)(2))</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- any arts or craft products made before 1935 are not protected under the Act. (Section 309.2(d)(3), Implementing Regulations, dated October 21, 1996)</li> <li>- IACA defines who qualifies as an “Indian” and “Indian tribe”.</li> <li>Confined to only federally-recognized and state-recognized tribes,</li> <li>- Neither the IACA nor the Code of Federal Regulations defines traditional or non-traditional Indian style</li> </ul>
Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act (OTCA) of 1988 USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- mandates that Indian-style imported products be indelibly marked with the country of origin.</li> </ul>	
Panama Law 2000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-protect the collective rights of intellectual property and traditional knowledge of the indigenous communities upon their creations. (Article I, Law No. 20, Panama; Article 1 of the Panama Ministry of Trade and Industries, Executive Decree No. 12, March 20, 2001).</li> <li>- protect the authenticity of crafts and other traditional artistic expressions (Article 6, Panama Law).</li> <li>- employs the use of the register not only as a defensive strategy</li> <li>- caution against complete disclosure of TK (Articles 11 and 12)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- allows exemptions for folkloric dance groups (Panama Law, Article 16) and certain small non-indigenous artisans..</li> <li>- does not provide for exceptions relating to education, "fair practice," "borrowing for producing original work," or for incidental uses in broadcasting or for reporting purposes</li> </ul>
Republic Act No. 8293, or the Intellectual Property Code of the Philippines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-establishes national registers on plant variety, indigenous cultural heritage, indigenous inventions, designs and utility models which includes arts and crafts and traditional practices.</li> </ul>	
Philippines Republic Act 7356 The National Commission For Culture And The Arts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-provides protection and promotion of Philippines cultural heritage including arts and crafts</li> </ul>	
Indigenous Peoples Rights Act of 1997 (IPRA) Philippines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- recognize, protect, and promote the rights of Indigenous Cultural Communities and Indigenous Peoples</li> <li>- The subject matter includes “the past, present and future manifestations of their [ICCs’/IPs’] cultures” (Section 32)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-does not provide any procedures to ensure genuineness of TCK products</li> <li>-silent on IP and ABS rights</li> </ul>

### 3. CONCLUSION

Tourism has contributed substantially to Malaysian economy and has been identified as one of the largest drawer of foreign exchange after the manufacturing sectors<sup>22</sup>. Indigenous cultural expression contributes

to a certain extent to the burgeoning tourist industry in Malaysia. In order to sustain this continued interest, more should be done to regulate the promotion and

Siti Shuhada Ahmad Kosnan, Normaz Wana Ismail & Normaz Wana Ismail, Demand Factors for International

Tourism in Malaysia: 1998-2009; PROSIDING PERKEM VII, JILID 1 (2012) 44-50, available online at [http://www.ukm.my/fep/perkem/pdf/perkemVII/PKEM2012\\_1A5.pdf](http://www.ukm.my/fep/perkem/pdf/perkemVII/PKEM2012_1A5.pdf)

marketing of handmade goods as well as the protection of handmade artisanal goods.

From the analysis of relevant model laws on TCEs and national legislations, four different approaches emerge; copyright, national heritage, indigenous people's right and sales of indigenous people's arts and crafts. Each approach has its own unique way of specifying the rights protected, the beneficiaries and the offences as well as the punishments imposed. No one approach is greater than the other leading to WIPO to recommend for the adoption of a mixture of approaches and not to rely on solely on a single approach. In Malaysia, unfortunately, not much has been done in copyright, and the indigenous peoples' law. The lack of regulation creates a lot of room for opportunist to maneuver. This is unfortunate as Malaysia is aiming to leverage on tourism as one of the income earners in our bid to reach a high income country in 2020.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This research project is made possible by an FRGS grant entitled Legal Framework for the Protection of Traditional Cultural Expression: Balancing Human Rights, Conservation and Proprietary Interest, by the Ministry of Education. I am grateful to Mahyudin Daud for the assistance in drawing the materials relevant for the research.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Badaruddin Mohamed, Cultural Tourism Promotion and Policy in Malaysia, available online at [www.hbp.usm.my/tourism/Papers/paper\\_cultural](http://www.hbp.usm.my/tourism/Papers/paper_cultural)
- [2] Colin Nicholas, Orang Asli: Rights. Problems, Solutions, (2010), Suruhanjaya Hak Asasi Manusia (SUHAKAM)
- [3] Economic Planning Unit, Ninth Malaysia Plan (2006-2010) chapter 23, available online at [www.epu.gov.my/.../ninth-malaysia-plan-2006](http://www.epu.gov.my/.../ninth-malaysia-plan-2006)
- [4] Jennie D. Woltz, The Economics of Cultural Misrepresentation: How Should the Indian Arts and Crafts Act of 1990 be Marketed, *Fordham Intell. Prop. Media & Ent. L.J.* Vol 17:443
- [5] Jocelyn L.B. Blanco, Harnessing Traditional Knowledge for Development and Trade: the (Bicol) Phillips Experience, paper presented at Expert Meeting on Systems and National Experiences for Protecting Traditional Knowledge. Innovations and Practices, Geneva, 30 October – 1 November 2000, available online at [http://r0.unctad.org/trade\\_env/docs/philippines.pdf](http://r0.unctad.org/trade_env/docs/philippines.pdf),
- [6] Siti Shuhada Ahmad Kosnan, Normaz Wana Ismail & Normaz Wana Ismail, Demand Factors for International Tourism in Malaysia: 1998-2009; PROSIDING PERKEM VII, JILID 1 (2012) 44-50, available online at [http://www.ukm.my/fep/perkem/pdf/perkemVII/PKEM2012\\_1A5.pdf](http://www.ukm.my/fep/perkem/pdf/perkemVII/PKEM2012_1A5.pdf)
- [7] Ragavan Srividya, Protection of Traditional Knowledge, *Minnesota Intellectual Property Review*, Vol.2, Issue 2 (2001), pp [i]-60
- [8] Tim O' Rourke & Paul Memmott, Sustaining Indigenous Cultural Tourism: Aboriginal Pathways, Cultural Centres and Dwellings in the Queensland Wet Tropics, CRC for Sustainable Tourism Pty Ltd (2005) at p. 5, available online at [http://www.crctourism.com.au/wms/upload/resources/bookshop/ORourke\\_IndigenousCulture.pdf](http://www.crctourism.com.au/wms/upload/resources/bookshop/ORourke_IndigenousCulture.pdf)
- [9] Valentina S. Vadi, When Cultures Collide: Foreign Direct Investment, Natural Resources, and Indigenous Heritage in International Investment Law, 24 *Colum. Hum. Rts. L. Rev.* 797 2010-2011, at p. 806
- [10] WIPO, Summary of Draft Policy Objectives and Core Principles, Annex II, WIPO/GRYKF/IC/7/5 available online at [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int)
- [11] WIPO, Protection of Traditional Cultural Expressions: Draft Gap Analysis, October 11, 2008, WIPO/GRTKF/IC/13/4/(b) Rev, available online at [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int)
- [12] WIPO: The Protection of Traditional Cultural Expressions: Draft Articles, Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore, WIPO/GRTKF/IC/18/4Rev, available online at [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int)
- [13] [www.wipo.in](http://www.wipo.in)



**Bandar Lampung, Indonesia**

**3<sup>rd</sup> IMCoSS**  
THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY  
CONFERENCE ON SOCIAL SCIENCES

[www.imcoss.ubl.ac.id](http://www.imcoss.ubl.ac.id)

